

Fresno-Madera State Route 41 and Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study

Final Report - Draft





В





CONTENTS

| 1. | Introduction and Background. 1.1 What is a Sustainable Corridor? 1.2 Document Overview. | 1 1 2 |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 2. | Study Area | 3 |
| | 2.1 Description of Study Area | 3 |
| | 2.2 Socioeconomic Conditions | 4 |
| | 2.3 Traffic Conditions | 9 |
| | 2.4 Plans and Projects Review. | 18 |
| 3. | Goals and Objectives of the Study | 19 |
| 4. | Community Engagement | 21 |
| | 4.1 Outreach Goals | 21 |
| | 4.2 Phase I: Investigation | 22 |
| | 4.3 Phase II: Assessment | 25 |
| | 4.4 Phase III: Draft Corridor Plan | 29 |
| | 4.5 Community Engagement Gap Analysis | 29 |
| | 4.6 Equity Lens and Engagement | 30 |
| 5. | Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies | 31 |
| | 5.1 Equity Best Practices | 31 |
| | 5.2 Sustainability Best Practices | 34 |
| | 5.3 Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies List | 34 |
| 6. | Alternatives Analysis | 55 |
| | 6.1 Congestion | 57 |
| | 6.2 Air Quality | 65 |
| | 6.3 Traffic Management | 70 |
| | 6.4 Walking and Biking | 72 |
| | 6.5 Access | 74 |
| | 6.6 Connected | 75 |
| | 6.7 Safety | 76 |
| | 6.8 Local Economy | 78 |
| | | |



| 7. | Recommended Improvements | 80 |
|----|--|----|
| 8. | Conclusion | 91 |
| Ар | pendix (A) – Project Review Matrix | 92 |
| Ар | pendix (B) – Small Group Meeting Attendees 1 | 01 |
| Ар | pendix (C) – Steering Committee Members 1 | 03 |
| Ар | pendix (D) – Guide to Applying an Equity Lens1 | 05 |
| Ар | pendix (E) – Model Run Project Lists1 | 09 |
| Ap | pendix (F) – Study Area Maps 1 | 11 |



1. Introduction and Background

The Fresno Council of Governments (Fresno COG) and the Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC) have partnered together to develop this sustainable corridor study to identify future mobility improvements in the State Route 41 (SR-41) and Avenue 9 corridors in Fresno and Madera Counties. These two corridors play a central role in the region's mobility network, connecting commuters to several national parks, as well as supporting local and regional employment and education centers. The region is also expected to change significantly once the new California High-Speed Rail project is completed, bringing new mobility options to workers throughout California. The SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study looks at existing and future transportation projects in the region, as well as existing and future land uses, setting in place sustainable mobility strategies that will seamlessly integrate transportation infrastructure within the local fabric and support resiliency over time. Together, these strategies will help Fresno and Madera Counties achieve their air quality goals and support a healthy, sustainable and equitable mobility environment for residents.

1.1 What is a Sustainable Corridor?

Caltrans identifies a sustainable corridor as one that is "planned, designed, operated, and maintained to include stewardship of economic, environmental and social resources and has the greatest number of sustainable benefits now and for future generations".¹ This definition and the Sustainable Corridor Framework Document provided by Caltrans served as a guiding document throughout the development of the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridor Study.

The Sustainable Corridor Framework provides insight into which statewide planning documents should be considered throughout the study and clear criteria which should be followed throughout the project's duration. Moreover, the guide identifies several goals including engaging with all community members throughout a project's entire planning process, identifying specific corridors which will most directly benefit from the introduction of multimodal transportation, identifying and prioritizing projects and strategies to meet future corridor improvements, and considering climate change adaptation and resiliency to minimize future disruptions. The five goals outlined by the Sustainable Corridor Framework include:

- Safety and Health
- Stewardship and Efficiency
- Sustainability, Livability & Economy
- System Performance
- Organization Excellence

¹ Corridor Planning Process Guide (2020) Caltrans Division of Transportation Planning



Using the sustainable corridor framework created by Caltrans as a guide, the following steps were taken before drafting the proposed sustainable corridor strategies:

- An assessment of existing conditions to identify where potential gaps and challenges existed within the study area.
- A review of existing plans and documents to help better focus project efforts on an approach that encouraged collaboration among the various study components.
- An assessment of planned improvements and projects that are either underway, funded, approved, or in the planning process
- The development of project goals and objectives in consultation with Fresno COG and MCTC, with input from the Steering Committee.
- The development and execution of a public outreach plan that allowed for multiple touchpoints and channels to gather input and provide information and education about the project.
- The development of a bi-county travel demand model that extended the existing Fresno Activity-Based Model (FresnoABM) to include Madera County, which in turn allowed the team to prepare a horizon year scenario for future-year alternatives analysis.

1.2 Document Overview

The following sections provide an overview of the demographic conditions within the study area, existing and forecasted traffic conditions and ongoing projects along the study corridors. This preliminary assessment helped to define the guiding principles and goals that guided the development of the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study. These guiding principles led to the identification of strategies and projects to be implemented over the near-, mid- and long-term horizons. These proposed improvements were then modeled and analyzed to determine the expected impacts on equity, sustainability, and mobility.

Community engagement was conducted throughout the development of the project. The various activities completed, and the main takeaways collected from these discussions, are presented in Section 4.



2. Study Area

2.1 Description of Study Area

SR-41 runs north-south through Fresno County and Madera County, and serves as a major corridor for regional travel and as a connection to SR-180 and SR-99. While SR-41 runs through more urbanized environments, Avenue 9 is lined primarily with agricultural lands and is heavily utilized for transport of farming equipment. Due to the diverse characteristics of the study corridors, the study area was divided into three segments: State Route 41 Southern Corridor (Fresno County), State Route 41 Northern Corridor (Madera County), and Avenue 9. By segmenting the study corridors, project goals and objectives can be adjusted to fit the specific requirements of each segment. A brief discussion highlighting key features along each segment is provided below. This is accompanied by Figure 2.1, which illustrates how the segments have been divided within the study area.

SR-41 Southern Corridor

SR-41 Southern Corridor runs through the City of Fresno and is surrounded by commercial and residential land uses. While this segment of SR-41 is already heavily urbanized, development along this portion of the study corridor is expected to grow with the introduction of the California High-Speed Rail (HSR) in 2029. Traffic congestion and the need for sustainable transportation alternatives are forecasted to increase along this segment as a result.

State Route 41 Northern Corridor

State Route 41 Northern Corridor is located just north of the Fresno-Madera County line and is currently surrounded by agricultural lands. Several new mixed-use developments are planned along this portion of SR-41, which will significantly change the landscape of this area. Planned projects include the Gunner Ranch West Development, Rio Mesa Development, and Riverstone Development. These developments will include residential, commercial, and open space land uses. The population and traffic generation along this segment are expected to increase in the future because of these projects.

Avenue 9

Avenue 9 in Madera County is a key east-west connection between SR-99 and SR-41 and is surrounded primarily by agricultural lands. The eastern portions of this corridor are forecast to see additional mixed-use development and the expansion of the Valley Children's Hospital. These developments are expected to impact travel demand and patterns along the corridor. Additionally, representatives and residents from Madera County have expressed a concern for traffic congestion and roadway safety, as the corridor is currently used by personal vehicles as well as for transporting farming equipment. The current traffic volumes along Avenue 9 are higher and travel at greater speeds than the roadway was designed to accommodate and have led to further concerns over accessibility, safety, and air quality.



Figure 2.1: Study Corridor Segments



2.2 Socioeconomic Conditions

The Fresno-Madera region is growing rapidly and seeing increased development along the SR-41 corridor and within the Fresno Downtown. Using 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates, the highest population densities per census tract are located along the southern portion of SR-41 in Downtown Fresno and in the City of Madera. The population is projected to grow by 19% in Fresno County by 2050 and by 34% in Madera County by 2050. Existing population density is reflected in Figure 2.2. These rates are roughly double the forecasted population growth rate in California between 2020 and 2050 of 10.7%.²

Communities located in and around the study area also include a wide variety of socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds. The majority of Fresno County and Madera County residents self-identified as a minority community. Approximately 50% and 54% of Fresno and Madera County residents respectively identify as ethnically Hispanic. Minority communities are heavily concentrated around both corridors, and particularly near the southern end of SR-41. Figure 2.3 shows the concentration of minority communities in and around the study area.

Low-income communities also represent a significant proportion of Fresno County and Madera County residents. Over 25% and 22% of Fresno and Madera County residents respectively live under the poverty line. Low-income communities include households who fall below 80% of the statewide median income and households who have a housing burden exceeding 30% of their income. Low-income communities are widespread throughout both

² State of California, Department of Finance. 2019. State Population Projections (2010-2060)



counties but are particularly concentrated along SR-41. Additionally, the census tracts with employment rates below 50% are located at the northernmost point of the study area, in the center along Nees Avenue and west of Blackstone between Herndon Avenue and Nees Avenue, and just south of where the SR-41 intersects with SR-99. Figure 2.4 reflects the density of low-income households in and around the study area, while Figure 2.5 reflects the employment density within the study area.



Figure 2.2: Existing Population Density in Fresno and Madera Counties



Figure 2.3: Minority Population





Figure 2.4: Low Income Population





Figure 2.5: Percentage of Population Employed



Sustainability, and minimizing exposure of communities to environmental risk factors is a key component of this study. Figure 2.6 presents the census tracts in Fresno and Madera Counties designated as disadvantaged according to the CalEnviroScreen3.0. The CalEnviroScreen disadvantaged community designation is based on a wide range of indicators, including exposure indicators, environmental effect indicators, sensitive population indicators, and socioeconomic factor indicators. Of the 50 census tracts in the study area, a total of 38 census tracts are designated as disadvantaged. Several neighboring communities in the region are also designated as disadvantaged. Moreover, the spatial distribution of minority and low-income populations along SR-41 Southern Corridor, SR-41 Northern Corridor, and Avenue 9, is further highlighted by the fact that many of those census tracts are also designated as disadvantaged by the CalEnviroScreen metric.





Figure 2.6: Disadvantaged Communities in Fresno and Madera Counties based on CalEnviroScreen

2.3 Traffic Conditions

The existing Fresno Activity-Based Model (FresnoABM) was extended to include Madera County to build a comprehensive, practical and well-calibrated Fresno Madera Bi-County activity-based model. The updated model utilizes 2019 as a base year and forecasts demographic and travel conditions for the 2046 horizon year. The horizon year output reflects forecasted conditions first under a "no-build" scenario. This is a scenario where only transportation projects that are already planned and funded are considered. The future and existing conditions assessment supported the development of a list of recommended sustainable improvements to address current issues. These proposed improvements and their anticipated impacts on future mobility trends will be discussed further in Section 6.

2.3.1 Traffic Volumes and Observed Speeds

Under no-build conditions, a slight increase in traffic congestion is expected to occur as a result of the increase in traffic volumes along the study corridors and surrounding arterials. Congestion can be measured by looking at observed speeds on the road. Vehicles traveling at speeds well below the posted speed limit indicate congestion on these particular roadways. The maps below show segments where cars traveled 30 mph or slower for arterials (where posted speed limits are 50 mph), and 45 mph and under for freeways (where posted speed limits are 65 mph). Speeds reflected in the figures are from the AM and PM Peak Hours. The time frame used as the AM Peak Hour was 7 AM to 8 AM and the time frame used as the PM Peak Hour was 5 PM to 6 PM.





















Figure 2.10: 2046 Horizon Year: Speed in PM Peak Hour



2.3.2 Congestion and Road Capacity

Congestion can also be looked at by performing a volume over capacity ratio calculation, which looks at the number of vehicles along a particular roadway compared to the number of vehicles it was designed to accommodate. The following maps show the Baseline and no-build condition for the Volume-Capacity Ratio, showing segments of the roads within the study area that are either at capacity (at a number of 0.9 to 1), or over capacity (at numbers above 1).



Figure 2.11: 2019 Base Year: Volume to Capacity AM Peak Period









2.3.2.1 VMT as an Indicator for GHG Emissions

VMT can be used as a guiding metric for understanding GHG emissions along a corridor and within its adjacent communities. As VMT reflects the number of miles being traveled by vehicle, it can also be assumed that as a driver travels more miles a higher density of pollutants contributing to GHG are also emitted. For this reason, the State of California has decided to use VMT as the required metric for assessing the sustainability of transportation related projects. Agencies reviewing proposed transportation projects are required to assess if the projects would result in an increase in VMT and thus GHG emissions. VMT per capita was thus used to portray the impacts of proposed transportation projects on emissions. However, this can be misleading, as some regions with lower density of populations would show a very high VMT/capita ratio. To address this issue, the map below presents the percent of change in VMT per capita within the study area between the 2019 existing conditions and the 2046 no-build scenario. In Section 6, the VMT per capita analysis continues to show the benefit of the proposed projects in comparison to the no-build scenario.³

³ VMT per Capita is calculated using auto vehicle miles traveled by residents within the taz, divided by the number of residents. Only internal travel is included. External travel is excluded.



Figure 2.13: VMT Per Capita 2046 No Build







Figure 2.14: VMT Per Capita % Difference Between 2019 Base Year and 2046 Horizon Year No-Build



2.4 Plans and Projects Review

In addition to the existing conditions assessment, a review of twenty-seven transportation projects related to active transportation, public transit, land use, and roadways was conducted to create a comprehensive assessment of anticipated change within Fresno and Madera Counties. Moreover, the projects identified through this analysis helped define future baseline conditions in the two study corridors. The documents reviewed included plans related to future transportation and land use projects that would have an impact on travel demand within the study area. A table of the documents reviewed with details related to each project can be found in Appendix A.

Notable projects which will bring significant change to the region include the Gunner Ranch West, Riverstone, and Rio Mesa developments along the SR-41 Northern Corridor which will provide thousands of low to medium density housing units and mixed-use development. Additionally, roadway improvements along he SR-41 Southern Corridort and the introduction of the California High-Speed Rail (CAHSR) will also contribute significant change to the study area leading up to the 2046 Horizon Year.

The Plan and project review also identified opportunities within the multimodal transportation network for improvement, particularly in the transit and bikeway network and in first-last mile connections. More specifically, there is an opportunity to introduce new protected bikeway infrastructure along busy arterials to enhance bicyclist safety. Key corridors identified for improvement include Blackstone Avenue, Palm Avenue, First Street, and Herndon Avenue. Additionally, there is an opportunity to expand the transit network by introducing high-frequency transit (HFT) and bus rapid transit (BRT) service. Corridors that would benefit from increased transit frequency or HFT or BRT service include the SR-41 Northern and Southern Corridors, Blackstone Avenue, and Avenue 9.

Improvements proposed to the bikeway and transit networks could be further enhanced through first-last-mile connections to transit centers or to key travel demand locations via micro-mobility and micro-transit connections. These improvements could be implemented through multi-modal mobility hubs in high travel demand areas, including the River Park Shopping Center, Fresno City College, Downtown Fresno, and the Valley Children's Hospital. Addressing these areas of needs could potentially play a significant role in improving access to transit.

This study also has a particular focus on sustainability and equity along the corridors. Fresno COG and Madera County are both leading efforts to prepare for the deployment of plug-in electric vehicles (PEVs). An expansion to the electric grid and electric vehicle charging network would support both agencies in achieving their PEV readiness goals. As electric vehicles provide a more sustainable travel option, supporting additional charging stations at key travel demand locations and multi-modal mobility hubs would help the region to reach its air quality and climate change goals. These opportunities guided the development of proposed improvements presented further in this document.

Sustainability along the corridor is directly correlated to the equity focus along the corridor, as disadvantaged communities in Fresno and Madera Counties are disproportionately impacted by poor air quality and GHG emissions from transportation sources. Therefore, future projects must consider the current and future implications of climate change and attempt to mitigate these effects. Lastly, as disadvantaged communities are those who typically rely more heavily on alternative forms of transportation, projects proposed as part of the sustainable corridor management strategies must ensure that all travelers experience increased and equitable access to transportation, regardless of mode or ability.



3. Goals and Objectives of the Study

The project goals provide a high-level description of what future mobility improvements are intended to accomplish and will assist with assessing how these improvements would provide benefits related to equity, sustainability, and resiliency along the study corridors.

The goals proposed for the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study are informed by those previously outlined by Fresno COG 2022 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)/ Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) and the MCTC updated RTP/ Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). Proposed goals and objectives are also made in alignment with those mentioned by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) under the "Solutions for Congested Corridors Program" and the Caltrans Corridor Planning Guidebook. This will better position Fresno COG and MCTC to receive grant funding which would support the development of sustainable mobility enhancements along these two corridors.

A comprehensive set of performance metrics has also been identified to determine the success of each goal. Performance metrics are specific to each objective and will provide a framework for measuring progress toward the project's overarching guiding principles. This approach will also help to identify any potential opportunities for improvement depending on the varying levels of success measured by the implemented performance metrics. The project's guiding principles, goals, and performance metrics were all presented to stakeholders for review and approval.

The clear articulation of project goals and performance metrics is an essential exercise to accurately assess the impacts of the strategies proposed as part of this study and to position Fresno County and Madera County to receive grant funding for the development of sustainable transportation solutions in the future.

Table 3.1 presents the guiding principles, goals, and performance metrics identified for the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridor Study.



Figure 3.1: Goals and Objectives Summary Table

| GUIDING PRINCIPLE | GOAL | PERFORMANCE METRICS |
|-------------------|--|---|
| | Improve infrastructure for walking, biking, and rolling. | Percentage of sidewalk and bike path coverage Additional miles of protected and separated bike facilities |
| | Increase access to transit services and non- automobile mobility opportunities. | Percentage of disadvantaged communities located within 0.25 miles of a transit stop |
| Equity | Increase connections to between residential areas and key employment, education, and recreation opportunities. | Percent of the urban population are within 0.25 miles of a transit stop Average commute time to employment and education centers |
| | Improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicular traffic. | Number of fatalities and serious injuries reported in annual SWITRS data Crash reduction factors summary by alternative |
| | Reduce congestion and travel delay through traffic management and operational improvements. | Mode Share Transit On-Time Performance Vehicular Delay Vehicle Miles Traveled (total) Vehicle Miles Traveled (per capita) |
| Sustainability | Reduce air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions from transportation sources along the corridors. | CalEnviroScreen data reflecting percentiles of census tracts exposed to high Ozone and PM2.5 concentrations within the study area Number of charging stations along the two study corridors Expansion of electric bus fleet |
| | Manage transportation demand, vehicle miles traveled. | VMT (total and per capita)Vehicle occupancy ratesVehicle Hours Delay |
| | Support local businesses through improved access and placemaking. | Percent of residents within a 30 – 40-minute trip to commercial streets/areas. |
| Resiliency | Support active and healthy lifestyles within the community. | CalEnviroScreen data reflecting percentiles of census tracts with Asthma and Cardiovascular disease within the study area Percent of residents within 0.25 miles of urban green space, urban trails or community parks |



4. Community Engagement

Community engagement plays a pivotal role in designing strategies and solutions that will make an impact in providing sustainable mobility options for users of the study corridors and support behavioral changes in mobility that would improve their quality of life and health. Residents and daily commuters of the area have a unique understanding of the physical and social limitations of the corridors, and clear mobility preferences and needs. These considerations are what make the proposed strategies in this report uniquely adapted to the local context. The Study included three general phases of community engagement - Phase I: Investigation, Phase II: Assessment, Phase III: Draft Corridor Plan. Throughout each phase, multiple touchpoints for community engagement were used. The Online Engagement Center was an online tool that hosted information that was routinely updated, surveys, comment cards, and online community workshops for the community to interact with, presented in English and Spanish. To receive input about each phase, five total small group meetings were hosted with local community and transportation experts. These meetings provided a space for colleagues to provide input on what has been done, what can be improved, and provide direction to the next steps.

4.1 Outreach Goals

The following goals informed the approaches and engagement strategies developed for the Sustainable Corridors Study.

Goals:

- Engage with residents and businesses along the study corridors as well as commuters traveling from outside of the study area
- Collaborate with historically underserved communities and maximize equity
- Educate stakeholders and the community about the benefits of multimodal transportation and the components of various mobility options
- Define regional transportation needs
- Generate buy-in from the community
- · Gather "local expertise" from the residents and users of the corridor

The public engagement for this project was designed to be interwoven with all major deliverables of this project, supporting community buy-in and the development of collaboration and partnerships. At the same time, these goals, during COVID-19, were to some extent aspirational. While the project was able to utilize a broad spectrum of digital tools to reach community members, there was a challenge to meet all of these goals due to in person engagement restrictions and the lack of interest, ability, or resources for community members to be engaged online. Over 150 people were engaged throughout the project.

All community engagement events were advertised using Social Media Outreach Toolkits. The toolkits provided a schedule of social media posts in English and Spanish and was also shared out with community partners.

A more detailed explanation of each engagement phase can be found below.



4.2 Phase I: Investigation

During Phase I, community members were able to share their experiences on the corridors as well as their needs, opportunities, and challenges. This phase took place from October 21st to December 15th (2020). To engage the community, three surveys were shared with the community and four small group meetings were hosted with community leaders in different sectors.

Details on these engagement activities can be found below.

Info Center Surveys

Three survey activities were presented in English and Spanish, including a Gains and Pains Exercise, a Corridor Issues & Challenges Map, and a Corridor Vision map. The Online Engagement Center had 543 unique page views. 189 surveys were started, and 82 were completed. It is important to note that most site visitors came from Fresno (44%), while only 8% came from Madera. The remaining site visits came from community members that do not live in either county. 67 total responses were provided to the four survey questions explained below.

The Gains and Pains exercise aimed to determine current and future needs and aspirations to ensure these corridors support a growing and thriving community. It asked:

- · What existing issues need fixing?
- What would you like to see in the future?
- What aspects should be addressed?

Some gains shared by the community include:

- "a need for a direct road to the new master plan communities and to Yosemite"
- "Most efficient way to get from North Fresno to Highway 99. No stop lights on Avenue 9 make it faster to get to and from Highway 99"

Some Pains include:

- "too narrow, no shoulders on the escape route"
- "dark at night, a deterrent to late evening/overnight travel"

Figure 4.1: Sample Gains and Pains Exercise





A Corridor Issues and Challenges Map was also uploaded to the Online Engagement Center. Participants were asked to drop a pin on a map of the study area to where they have experienced issues or challenges as users. The most pressing issues include:

- High traffic volumes/speed on Avenue 9
- Congestion near SR-41/ SR-180
- Lane reductions on the SR-41 Northern Corridor
- Other localized areas of congestion

Figure 4.2: Sample Corridor Vision Map



Corridor Vision: We asked participants "What is your vision for the State Route-41/Avenue 9 Corridors in your community? Please help by letting us know how important each of our vision elements are to YOU. This will help us establish community priorities, develop strategies, and craft solutions to reflect your preferences." No responses were received for this question.

4.2.1 Small Group Meetings

BluePoint Planning conducted five hour-long Small Group Meetings to obtain feedback from key community stakeholders including businesses and developers, environmental groups, cycling groups, agricultural groups, community groups, and large institutional stakeholders. Each meeting contained five to eight people per meeting. These small group meetings allowed for in depth conversations and feedback on a range of concerns and issues for sectors of the community. A full list of the entities that were present during the meetings can be found in Appendix B.



Below is a summary of the main takeaways:

Challenges

- Avenue 9 and SR-41 are unsafe for active transportation and vehicular traffic
- Traffic congestion is bad and will be getting worse
- Some roads and areas near SR-41 and Avenue 9 are run down and unsightly
- Avenue 9 roads have to cater to current and future needs of residents, truckers, commuters, agricultural groups, and pedestrians and cyclists
- · Pollution from traffic affects neighboring communities
- Funding opportunities and responsibilities should be clearly established and agreed on
- Planned development near and along Avenue 9 includes approximately 2 million sf of commercial space and 3,500 new homes that will impact future use and travel patterns

Opportunities

- Develop a protected bike lane with access from SR-41 to Avenue 9
- · Create safe routes for active transportation and vehicular traffic
- · Create spaces on SR-41 and Avenue 9 for economic opportunity and community amenities
- · Add beautification measures for residents, workers, and to attract tourists
- Increase greenery and trees to reduce pollution, create cooling shelters, and potential buffers for active transportation
- Align development with other ongoing and future project
- · Better address and manage land use and transportation
- · Mitigate the impacts of continued growth in Madera County
- Reduce congestion and improve traffic flow to help reduce emissions and pollution
- Incorporate the planning for the San Joaquin River and area near Avenue 9 into the project
- Learn from mistakes and successes of Avenue 12

4.2.2 Steering Committee

The Steering Committee played a central role in providing input on priorities, challenges, and opportunities related to land use, mobility, sustainability, and health in Fresno and Madera Counties, specifically along the two corridors. Committee members included representatives of: Government staff, Health and Public Health representatives, mobility, economic development/tourism, equity, and safety. Appendix (C) includes complete information on the committee members.

Four steering committee meetings were hosted to date. On August 27th, 2020, the team hosted the first committee meeting to provide an overview about the project, committee member responsibilities and the public outreach plan. The second meeting was hosted on November 18th, 2020; the meeting discussed project goals and objectives, a summary of the first round of outreach and engagement, as well as a discussion around the second phase of outreach and engagement. The January 28th, 2021 meeting discussed the draft mobility strategies that were created based on community feedback and the existing conditions study. Finally, on April 26th, committee members discussed the final strategies for the plan and the community workshop goals for the second round of engagement.



4.3 Phase II: Assessment

Phase II consisted of generating ideas and alternatives for corridor improvements. During this phase, the team hosted an online open house workshop on February 17th. The workshop provided a Spanish interpretation room to allow Spanish-speaking community members to participate, however, no one requested this feature. Over 40 community members joined the workshop. The workshop agenda allowed for attendees to learn about the priorities for the study, then community members had the opportunity to share their ideas on what projects should be prioritized when it comes to highway, roadway, transit, active transportation, and multi-modal/climate resiliency improvements. The Zoom recording was posted on the Online Engagement Center for community members that did not have the capacity to join the live workshop to watch on their own time. Below is a summary of the community input that was gathered during the workshop. Improvements were rated on a scale of one to five, with five indicating the highest preference.

Figure 4.3: Roadway Improvement Community Input Summary



Roadway Expansion Widening of roadways to accommodate increased traffic levels



Intersection Improvements Enhancements at intersections, including new turning lanes or traffic signal upgrades



Traffic Signal Coordination Improved timing between traffic lights to enhance traffic flow



General Purpose Lane Addition Adding a new freeway lane for general purpose traffic



traffic flow

Auxiliary Lane Addition Managed Lane/High-Adding a lane to the **Occupancy Vehicle** freeway between two (Carpool) Lanes interchanges to assist with Adding one lane in each direction



Interchange Improvements Improvements to on-ramps and off-ramps at a specific interchange



Traveller Information/ Freeway Operations Improvements Improvements to the management of traffic operations and information for drivers



Figure 4.4: Active Mode Community Input Summary



New Bicycle Lanes and Facilities New on-street bike lanes or off-street multi-use pathways



Protected Bicycle Lanes On-street bicycle lanes separated from adjacent auto traffic by a vertical barrier.



Bicycle-Friendly Intersections Improvements to street intersections to enhance safety for bicyclists



New and Improved Sidewalks Enhancements (widening, repair) to existing sidewalks and installation of new sidewalks



Mobility Hubs Enhanced transit stops with access to other modes (bicycle share, scooter share, rideshare) and



New Local Bus Route Expand bus service in a new corridor or between new destinations



Landscaping and Shade

landscaping and shade

Improvements to

Traffic Signal Priority Enhances to traffic signals to improve bus operations and travel times



Park-and-Ride Parking lots available for public use to connect to carpool, vanpool, and transit services



Queue Jump Lanes Bus-only lanes at intersections that allow buses to bypass automobile queues



Vanpool Expanded vanpool services between Madera and Fresho Counties, as well as within both counties



New Freeway Express Bus Route Buses operating in freeway corridors with limited stops



Electric Vehicles Expand public access to electric vehicle charging locations



New Bus Rapid Transit Route Enhanced bus services operating along roadways with improved stations/stops, ticketing, and bus travel time

Highway improvements

- Generally, the community wants effective traffic management, including better information for drivers and adding High Occupancy Vehicle Lanes
- Interchange improvements, including on- and off-ramp enhancements are a priority for the community
- Adding new freeway lanes to mitigate traffic is not necessary



Figure 4.5: Highway Improvements Feedback



Roadway improvements

- Intersection improvements (including new turning lanes and traffic signal upgrades) and traffic signal coordination are important upgrades that will increase safety
- Roadway expansions are not necessary for better experiences on the road

| Roadway Improvements | A Intersection Improvements | B Traffic Signal Coordination | C Roadway Expansion |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| â (A) | Enhancomantia at Intersections, including new turning lanes or traffic signal upgrades | Interested limiting between traffic lights to enhance traffic flow | Wolaning of roadways to accommodate increased traffic levels |
| 02 | Citte Citte | | |

Figure 4.6: Highway Improvements Feedback

Transit Improvements

• The community welcomes new local bus routes, express bus routes, rapid bus transit routes, and enhanced traffic signals for bus operations as an effective alternative to driving.



Figure 4.7: Transit Improvements Feedback



Active Transportation

- Bike safety is a huge issue on the corridors and new bicycle lanes and infrastructure, such as protected lanes, as well as bike intersections are key to improving safety. It is not enough to paint streets. Barriers that separate adjacent auto traffic should be implemented.
- Improvements should be made to existing sidewalks; new sidewalk installations should be placed in roads that do not have pedestrian access.

Figure 4.8: Active Transportation Improvements Feedback



Multi-Modal Climate Resilience

 Mobility hubs, park-and-rides, vanpool, electric vehicle access, and improvements to landscaping and shade along public streets and highways can help mitigate traffic and provide alternate transportation options for those that cannot or do not want to drive.





Figure 4.9: Multi-Modal/ Climate Resiliency Improvements Feedback

Throughout both Phase I and Phase II, there has been a lack of participation from the Spanish speaking community. Towards the end of Phase II, the team attempted one final outreach push to reach voices that were not represented throughout prior community engagement opportunities. A PSA in Spanish was distributed to local Spanish radio stations to play during their shows and include on their website, newsletter, or any other form of media outreach.

4.4 Phase III: Draft Corridor Plan

The last phase involves engaging the community on the Draft Sustainable Corridors Study. The document is a tool that recommends certain strategies based on community input gathered from Phase I and Phase II as well the technical modeling that has been done. The document will be submitted for review by the community as part of a 30-day review opportunity.

4.5 Community Engagement Gap Analysis

Fresno and Madera Counties have a total population of over one million people with a large number of people living or working near the study area. Over 85% of the corridors' users are from the local study area. For the entirety of the engagement process, the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting stay-at-home orders issued in California impacted the project team's ability to conduct in person engagement and to utilize tools and practices that would have the best ability to reach a diverse and broad sample of the population. To address this challenge, the team attempted to create a range of bilingual, interactive digital engagement opportunities and outreach tools as discussed above.

Overall, the study engaged over 150 community participants and stakeholder groups, representing a range of interests, including businesses, education leaders, health care community, and residents. While it is likely that many voices were still unheard, community representatives and local stakeholders played a significant role in the development of the recommendations and strategies presented in this report.



4.6 Equity Lens and Engagement

The goals of community engagement included collaborating with historically underserved communities, maximize equity as well as gather local expertise from residents and users of the corridors. By understanding the local issues and visions, projects that come out of this study can be mindful of community concerns. According to Figures 2.3-2.4, a significant portion of the study area consists of minority communities, or communities of color, households living below the poverty line, and are considered SB 535 census tract communities. Moreover, approximately 50% and 54% of Fresno and Madera County residents respectively identify as Hispanic.

Spanish Language Outreach and Engagement

To cater specifically to the local communities, there was a particular emphasis on trying to engage with Spanishspeaking communities, as over 34% of families in Fresno County speak Spanish at home and 44% of Madera County residents speak another language at home. Every engagement effort and tool provided in the Online Engagement Center was provided in both English and Spanish. Outreach tools such as social media posts advertising the community outreach opportunities were also translated to Spanish. The February 17, 2021 community event had a Spanish interpreter available to participants.

Throughout Phase I and Phase II of the community events, Spanish speaking community members did not provide input. As a result, the team shifted gear. In an attempt to reach out to the Spanish speaking community, a PSA was shared with local Spanish radio stations to capture vision and concerns from community members that have not been participating.

Listening Sessions

The small group conversations hosted in Phase I provided an important role in understanding a diverse spectrum of interests and needs. The participation of community-based organizations representing disadvantaged and vulnerable groups provided insight into the specific concerns of these hard to reach members of the community. The conversations were deliberately hosted without the presence of local government staff to provide attendees the opportunity to have candid conversations on local concerns and needs.



5. Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies

After a thorough review of existing planning documents and conditions and a review of relevant planned and ongoing projects related to transportation within Fresno and Madera Counties, opportunities for improvement were identified for sustainability, equity, and accessibility within the transportation network. In addition, the bicounty travel demand model pinpointed specific locations in need of improvement along the study corridors and within the study area. Once the initial model output was analyzed, a draft project list of potential future policies, studies, and projects was developed in alignment with the three guiding principles of the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridor Study: Sustainability, Equity, and Resiliency.

5.1 Equity Best Practices

The recommended projects and strategies were developed in an effort to follow equity best practices. Historically, transportation improvements have not served disadvantaged communities well and have often led to greater disparities, increased health issues, and the isolation of low-income communities. It is crucial to integrate equity concerns into this study and future transportation project recommendations to improve overall outcomes for low-income communities, communities of color, and the community as a whole. As discussed in the engagement section, approximately 50% and 54% of Fresno and Madera County residents respectively identify as ethnically Hispanic. Minority communities, or communities of color, are heavily concentrated surrounding the SR-41 and Avenue 9 study corridors, and particularly near the southern end of SR-41. Low-income communities also represent a significant portion of Fresno and Madera County. Over 25% and 22% of Fresno and Madera County residents, respectively, live in poverty. Low-income communities include households who fall below 80% of the statewide median income and households who have a housing burden exceeding 30% of their income. Low-income communities are widespread throughout both counties, but are particularly concentrated along SR-41.

Given that Fresno and Madera Counties are home to communities that have been historically neglected or harmed, it is important for this study and future projects to proactively address equity and avoid perpetuating injustice. By engaging with diverse communities and communities that have not been previously engaged, future projects can benefit from local knowledge and lived expertise to solve current and future transportation issues. Additionally, if projects create solutions for those who would benefit the most, they will work for everyone. This is especially important because marginalized communities are disproportionately affected by adverse health or environmental effects and are often less prepared to face the hazards. In addition, improvements made to the transportation network should ensure ADA accessibility and that no technological barriers are created.

The study itself aims to understand existing conditions and provide recommendations on what types of transportation projects should be pursued. In the first phase, the team established three primary project principles: Sustainable; Equitable; and Resilient. For the purpose of this study, equity is defined as the ability to have reliable transportation options for all. The equitable goals illustrated below strive to embed the concept of equity into each element of the project. These goals were used as a filter and touchstone for creation of the recommendations and were also implemented into the equity evaluation metrics discussed in Section 5.1.2.



Figure 5.1: Equity Focused Goals



5.1.1 Including Equity Influencing Factors into Future Transportation Projects

While the study itself does not implement transportation projects, the project recommendations have been thought out through an equity lens. Equity does not have a one-size-fits-all approach, but it is important for all local transportation projects to lead with equity. The following are recommendations on incorporating this practice into future projects.

When projects are scoped out, they need to include factors that create a quality equitable outcome. The following factors were recommended by the Urban Sustainability Directors Network (USDN) Guide to Applying an Equity Lens⁴:

- Clarity and specificity Good projects are easily described and understood. They provide specific, concrete goals and progress indicators for both communitywide impacts and reducing racial inequities. This is important because one project cannot solve all equity issues, by making goals that are unique to the specific project areas, projects are more likely to achieve their goals.
- Ability to impact racial equity Good projects identify and address specific racial inequities, whether through the adoption of racial and social impact criteria in decision-making processes, by producing specific benefits and/or mitigating burdens for communities of color, and/or through more authentic, longterm engagement of communities of color in program design and implementation.
- Capacity building Good projects include specific strategies for building the capacity of your team to advance racial equity. You should have internal and/or external partners identified that will support development and implementation of the project. If you do not have current partners, expanding capacity and engagement should be a key part of your strategy.
- Institutionalizing Good projects will aim to institutionalize equity on a long-term basis so that the project doesn't become a "one-off." This can be done by embedding in institutional policy a decision-criteria to promote equity or a requirement to use a racial equity lens in program development. While opportunities to institutionalize equity will likely emerge throughout the course of implementation, if you are thinking about it from the beginning, the likelihood for success will increase. Ultimately, the more you can embed consideration of equity into operations, the more influence you will have.

⁴ Urban Sustainability Director's Network. 2019. "Guide to Applying an Equity Lens a



The factors above are important in creating a comprehensive equity project; however, it is also recommended that all future project managers and implementers take the Government Equity Assets and Readiness Self-Assessment created by KAPWA Consulting and USDN (Appendix D). This self-assessment allows project managers to better understand where Fresno and Madera Counties are in their readiness and ability to engage with the community and what strategies to implement based on their readiness score. This assessment is not only important for self-awareness but can also guide some of the decision-making on the quality factors mentioned above.⁵

5.1.2 Evaluating Equity in Transportation Solutions

To counter the effects of previous harmful transportation improvements and to actively address them, future transportation recommendations and projects should be evaluated through a clear and specific equity lens. The following is adapted from the City of Austin's Climate & Energy Equity Tool⁶ and identifies six areas to measure equity in transportation recommendations for the Corridor Study recommendations. If a proposed recommendation does not fulfil more than half of the themes, it should be revised to stay consistent with equity commitments.

- Theme 1: Health Recommendation improves health (physical and mental) outcomes for low-income communities and communities of color. The strategy upholds the right to clean, safe and adequate transportation that does not increase health disparities such as asthma, obesity, and heart disease.
- Theme 2: Affordability Recommendation lowers and stabilizes costs related to basic transportation needs for low-income communities and communities of color.
- Theme 3: Accessibility Recommendation increases access and connectivity to critical destinations including jobs, health care, education, and food for low-income communities and communities of color. Strategy provides increased opportunities for walking, biking, and transit through improved city transportation infrastructure, policy, and investments.
- Theme 4: Just Transition Recommendation ensures economic justice so that low-income communities and communities of color are prioritized in the benefits of the strategy and are protected from any potential negative consequences.
- Theme 5: Accountability The proposed recommendation should help foster long-term relationships between low-income communities and local governments. Recommendation ensures that low-income communities and communities of color can hold governments and institutions accountable for equitable implementation.
- Theme 6: Community Recommendation supports a vibrant and thriving community and neighborhoods that are comfortable and welcoming for low-income communities and communities of color. The strategy offers shelter from extreme weather and climate impacts, enhances natural and green infrastructure, and supports overall social cohesion (engagement and connection within/to the community). The proposed action address local values in order to support implementation.

⁵ KAPWA Consulting and Urban Sustainability Directors Network. 2019. "Government Equity Assets and Readiness Self-Assessment"

⁶ City of Austin. 2020. "Austin Community Climate Plan (ACCP) Update Equity Tool Process"


5.2 Sustainability Best Practices

The recommended projects and strategies were also developed following sustainability best practices. Traditionally, transportation projects have had a negative impact on the air quality and environmental health within minority and low-income communities. This has historically led to larger resiliency and health challenges within these same communities. Therefore, it is crucial to consider sustainability concerns into this study and future project recommendations to improve current environmental conditions and mitigate future climate change impacts within disadvantaged communities and the region as a whole.

5.2.1 Including Sustainability into Future Transportation Projects

The project recommendations have been made with the long-term sustainability of the region in mind. Given that community members each have a unique set of transportation needs, sustainable transportation alternatives should take a multi-modal approach. This ensures that there are a multitude of transportation alternatives available to the public and ensures that access to sustainable alternatives is distributed equitably throughout the community as well. The following are recommendations on incorporating this practice into future projects.

- Energy Efficiency Proposed improvements should minimize the amount of energy needed to power their use. Additionally, improvements should be powered by renewable energy sources, including solar energy, whenever possible. Encouraging energy efficient facilities will have positive impacts on regional air quality and climate goals.
- Less Dependence on Cars Proposed improvements should both create new sustainable alternatives to cars and improve existing transit service and active transportation infrastructure to encourage higher use. Encouraging a community culture that depends less on cars will also have a positive impact on air quality, as less GHG will be emitted from vehicles.
- Electrification Electrification should be encouraged for personal vehicles, bus fleets, and multi-modal mobility hubs. In addition, new charging facilities that do not require significant amounts of curb space should be implemented, including wireless charging facilities wherever possible.
- Greener Areas Green areas, such as pocket parks or urban greening programs, can provide more shade on extreme heat days, reduce the impacts of the urban heat island effect, and provide community meeting spaces.
- Accessibility Access to sustainable transportation alternatives must be distributed equally throughout all communities, but in priority within low-income and minority communities. Moreover, sustainable transportation alternatives must meet the specific needs of the communities that they are in to ensure that travelers can reach their destination safely, efficiently, and comfortably.

5.3 Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies List

The draft project list of policies, studies, and projects was further classified by bundling projects by improvement area. Categories included highway, roadway/arterial, transit, active transportation, and climate resiliency and multimodal improvements. Moreover, projects were further classified into near, mid, and long-term projects. Near-term projects were those anticipated to be initiated within 5 years, Mid-term projects were those anticipated to be initiated within 5-15 years, and Long-term projects were those anticipated to be initiated after 15 years.

Once the draft project list had been finalized, it was then presented to the public for their input and to gather their local expertise. This was done through two stakeholder meetings, a public workshop, and through ongoing surveys and access to the project's information center. Feedback from each round of community engagement was then integrated into the final list of sustainable corridor management strategies presented in Table 5.1 below.



Figure 5.1: Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies – SR-41 Southern Corridor

| | | SR-4 | 1 Southern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Goals to Address | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| | Actively mitigate negative air quality impacts when implementing new highway infrastructure Prioritize highway improvement projects that would reduce emissions and improve air quality | Pursue roadway improvements which support transit and complete streets, as well as connectivity between modes | Develop a well-integrated multi-modal transportation environment on highways and local corridors which supports the expansion of local public transit, micro-mobility, high- speed rail, park & ride facilities | Promote the construction of a safe, efficient, and well-connected bicycle network | Provide commuters and travelers with convenient alternatives to single occupant vehicle travel |
| | Promote highway improvements that facilitate the movement of goods to SR-99 | Encourage the use of sustainable building materials and green infrastructure in transportation improvement projects | Ensure that access to public transit is achieved equitably, particularly in communities of concern including low- income communities, senior or disabled communities, and minority communities along the entire corridor | Create an active transportation network that is well integrated with the public transit network | Provide for reliable, affordable, and efficient travel, particularly for transit and Active Transportation |
| Policies | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support traffic management | Actively mitigate negative air quality impacts when implementing new roadway infrastructure | Provide high-frequency and efficient public transit that connects passengers to key travel demand locations or to other transit connections | Promote multi-jurisdictional collaboration to ensure connectivity of the active transportation network | Transition to 100 percent zero emission bus and vanpool fleets by 2030 (Innovative Clean Transit Regulation) |
| | | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support traffic management and manage congestion | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support travel time reliability and increase transit competitiveness | Support technology upgrades that increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists. | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support integration of modes and improve information sharing with all users of the road |
| | | Support roadway improvement projects and policies that improve safety for all users | Promote a multi-jurisdictional collaboration between Fresno and Madera Counties and YARTS for transit services to ensure connectivity and seamless transfers on long distance trips | Adopt enhanced bicycle parking requirements at residential, commercial, transit stations, and recreational locations | Promote strategies to increase the urban tree canopy and landscape cover through incorporation in roadway and transit projects |



| | | SR-4 | I Southern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Goals to Address | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| Policies | | Promote roadway improvements that facilitate the movement of goods to SR-99 | | Provide incentives to increase travel by active modes and that supports an active & healthy lifestyle | Provide shade, cooling measures, etc. to support walking and the use of public transportation |
| | Modeling the creation of on-street throughways for local traffic and development of substantial bike infrastructure. | Support deployment of private and public electric vehicles as well as EV supportive infrastructure to reduce the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions from transportation sources | Designate Transit Priority Areas where there are high densities of transit and employment, commercial, and residential uses to increase transit ridership | Encourage walking through improved sidewalks, safe intersections, and treatments that shorten the perceived walking distances through architectural features, landscaping features, or building-to-street design | Support resilient design of transportation infrastructure to better withstand extreme weather events |
| | | Support deployment of private and public electric vehicles as well as EV supportive infrastructure to reduce the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions from transportation sources | | Support transportation improvement projects that address the needs of the most vulnerable groups of the population | Coordinate with Fresno COG and FAX to incorporate future High-Frequency Transit, local bus service, micro-mobility, and future micro-transit services with a mobility hub into transit priority areas to create a strong transit connection |
| Studies | | | Transit accessibility study - analyze the time it takes for residents along SR-41 N to use transit to reach economic and educational opportunity centers, commercial centers, etc. This data can then be used to calculate a "regional access score" for comparison between residential areas. | Safe routes to school program that utilizes collision data and community engagement to identify key locations for analysis/ improvement (Blackstone Avenue, N Fresno Street, N Palm Avenue) | Evacuation traffic study/ Community Evacuation Route Studies. Information gathered through this assessment will be critical as climate change increases the intensity and frequency of natural disaster. |
| | | | Consider future Freeway Express Bus Concept study; build on existing Route 1 BRT and study the possibility of an expanded network, which could incorporate a route along SR- 41. | Class IV bikeway connectivity study along the entire southern portion of SR-41 | Evacuation traffic study/ Community Evacuation Route Studies. Information gathered through this assessment will be critical as climate change increases the intensity and frequency of natural disaster. |



| | | SR-41 Southern Corridor | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency | | |
| Project Goals to Address | | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience | | |
| | | Implement HOV lanes along SR- 41 N between Van Ness Ave and SR- 180 | Facilitate freeway access and safety with auxiliary lane along SR- 41 from Ashlan Ave to Shaw Avenue; FCOG expected completion date Dec 2023 | Traffic signal coordination along corridors with high vehicular volumes including Palm Ave & Sierra Ave, Palm Ave & Barstow Ave, Palm Ave & Gettysburg Ave, Palm Ave & Olive Ave | Enhance bus operations with strategies that include bus queue jumps, traffic signal priority, mobile ticketing, and sections with bus only lanes. | AQ sensors on bus fleets; provides an opportunity to monitor the air quality in neighborhoods along FAX fleet route and can assist the region in monitoring/ meeting emission reductions efforts; this is being introduced within other transit agencies in the US and could be beneficial in Fresno/ Madera County given air quality concerns in the region | | |
| | Projects | Add an auxiliary lane to NB SR-41 between Ashlan Avenue to Shaw Avenue | Intersection improvements, to include dashed pavement markings to delineate vehicles turning, marked advanced stop | Administer a traveler information program which consolidates multi-modal transportation/ commute alternative options into a singular app-interface which reflects time/cost savings per mode. Also include active transportation modes within this interface | Upgrade current planned Class II and III bikeways to separated bikeways: Maple Avenue, Wishon Avenue, Blackstone Avenue, Abby Avenue, First Street P. Street Palm Avenue | Pursue an Urban Greening program throughout this segment of the study corridor which expands the urban tree canopy and invests in green infrastructure including community gardens and vegetative buffers alongside the corridor and surrounding roadways | | |
| Near (<5 years) | | Add an auxiliary lane to SB SR-41 between Bullard Ave to Herndon Avenue | | Extend the Vanpool network and offer incentives to employers and employees to increase participation | Belmont Avenue, Van Ness Avenue | Identify locations for EV charging stations (multimodal mobility hubs amongst others) | | |
| | | lines, and protected right turn lares to improve safety such as at Shaw Avenue & Blackstone Avenue, Palm Avenue & Clinton Avenue, Ashlan Avenue & Fresno Street, Blackstone Avenue & Shaw Avenue, Shaw Avenue & Fresno Street, Blackstone Avenue & Bullard, Plesdetae Avenue & Bullard, | lines, and protected right turn lanes to improve safety such as at Shaw Avenue & Blackstone Avenue, Palm Avenue & Clinton Avenue, Ashlan Avenue & Fresno Street, Blackstone Avenue & Fresno Street, Blackstone Avenue & Bullard, Blackstone Avenue & Bullard, | Explore the possibility of a High-Frequency Transit (HFT) route from Madera County to Downtown Fresno. An HFT route could use HOV lanes from Van Ness Ave to SR 180 to better connect new Madera County Communities to Downtown Fresno and other key destinations. | Bike facilities improvements identified in the FCOG 2018 RTP Constrained Project List | Designate "multi-modal corridors": Blackstone Ave (from W Nees Avenue - Divisadero Street), N Fresno St (from N Friant Road - E Clinton Avenue) | | |
| | | Add an auxiliary lane to NB SR-41 between Bullard Ave to Herndon Avenue | Palm Avenue & Herndon Avenue, McKinley Avenue & Van Ness Avenue | Introduce micro-transit, "flexible fleet" pilot program in Downtown Fresno and SE Fresno (where population density is high, but transit ridership is low) for neighborhood trips and for connections to fixed route lines for longer trips | Wayfinding signage to direct active transportation users to major destinations, transit, key routes, etc. Introduce shade structures at bus s and in areas with high pedestrian tr downtown, including Fulton Street (Mariposa Street - Kern Street), H S (Tulare Street - Mono Street) | Introduce shade structures at bus stops and in areas with high pedestrian traffic downtown, including Fulton Street (Mariposa Street - Kern Street), H Street (Tulare Street - Mono Street) | | |
| | | | | Improve bus stop conditions along the FAX service route to include landscaping & shade, street furniture, improved lighting, and real time travel information & other ITS benefits including occupancy levels for an approaching bus | Downtown protected bikeways near future CAHSR station: H Street, Fulton Street, Blackstone Avenue | | | |



| | | | SR-4 | 1 Southern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------|----------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Go Address | oals to | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| | | | Increase landscaping in medians and include bioswales along major arterials including First Ave (McKinley Avenue - E Magill Avenue), Blackstone Avenue (McKinley Avenue - W Nees Avenue), Shields Avenue (N Fruit Avenue - N Cedar Avenue), Herndon Avenue (W Van Ness Boulevard - N Cedar Avenue), Shaw Avenue (N Fruit Avenue - N Cedar Avenue) | Introduce multimodal mobility hubs which includes scooter/bike/ride/car share, Delivery Pick Up-Drop Off locations, Bus, Micro transit services at locations such as: Fresno Station (Tulare Street & P Street), Fresno CC (Blackstone Avenue & E Weldon Avenue), RiverPark Shopping Center (Blackstone Avenue & W Nees Avenue), Kaiser Permanente Medical Center (N Fresno Street & N Howard Street), VA Medical Offices (N Fresno Street & E Clinton Avenue), CRMC (Fresno Street & E Divisadero Street), CSU Fresno (E Shaw Avenue & N Maple Avenue) | Bike share near transit stations, major activity and em-ployment centers, and educational uses | LoNo Emission Vehicle Program; this is a program introduced by the FTA that is seeking to introduce Low to No emission bus fleet vehicles |
| Mid (5-15 years) | Projects | Implement HOV lanes along SR-41 between SR-180 and the Madera County Line | Increase landscaping in medians and include bioswales along major arterials including First Ave (McKinley Avenue - E Magill Avenue), Blackstone Avenue (McKinley Avenue - W Nees Avenue), Shields Avenue (N Fruit Avenue - N Cedar Ave-nue), Herndon Avenue (W Van Ness Boulevard - N Cedar Avenue), Shaw Avenue (N Fruit Avenue - N Cedar Avenue) | Micro-transit connections to key travel demand loca-tions such as CSU Fresno, Kaiser Permanente Fresno Medical Center, Fashion Fair Mall, and the River Park Shopping Center. | Downtown environment provides opportunity for landscaping and amenities for active transportation users. | Implement/Expand solar program for street lighting and signs |
| | | | Implement Complete Streets | Explore Freeway Express Bus/High Frequency Transit (HFT) route along SR-41, connecting new Madera County communities to Downtown Fresno and other key destinations in Fresno County for routes including 32 and 34. | | Introduce "cool pavements" in communities surrounding SR-41, such as reflective paving, water retentive permeable pavement to reduce the urban heat island effect |
| | | | near future CAHSR station (H street) | Extend weekend service hours and increase the frequency of FAX routes to every 10-15 minutes. | Extend Class I bike path on Kearney Boulevard going west to Goldenrod Elementary | Use reclaimed asphalt pavements (RAP) or recycled material components (RMCs) in pavement treatments when road repairs are needed. RMCs are generated from industrial by-products and can reduce GHG emissions. |



| | SR-41 Southern Corridor | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Go Address | oals to | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| Mid (5-15 years) | Projects | Implement HOV lanes along SR-41 between SR-180 and the Madera County Line | Implement Complete Streets improvements on surrounding corridors near future CAHSR station (H street) | Extend weekend service hours and Increase the frequency of FAX routes to every 10 minutes | Crosswalk improvements including curb extensions, raised intersections, high visibility crosswalks, and pedestrian crossing beacons at locations such as Blackstone Avenue & Herndon Avenue, Blackstone Avenue & Shaw Avenue, Palm Avenue & Herndon Avenue, Shaw Avenue & Herndon Avenue, Shaw Avenue & N First Street, Tulare Street & Van Ness Avenue, Tulare Street & H Street, and G Street & Tulare Street | Introduce bioswales and porous pavement on landscaping surrounding corridors or in landscaped medians Develop cooling station program that provides an efficient and environmentally-friendly way to cool multiple buildings. This will become increasingly important as the Fresno area begins to experience more extreme heat days in response to climate change. |
| | | | | | Bicycle intersection improvements (i.e. green transition lanes) where SR-41 meets new bicycle facilities | Develop parklets at key locations along corridor including at Fresno CC and at H Street & Tulare Street |



| | | | SR-4 | 1 Southern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Go Address | oals to | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| | | Shields Avenue Interchange Improvement: Expand the NB off ramp to 2 lanes for the full length | | | | |
| | | Ashlan Avenue Interchange Improvement - Reconfigure interchange to either a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) or a Diverging Diamond configuration. Additional study required | | | | |
| Long (15+ years) | Projects | Shaw Avenue Interchange Improvement – Add a 3rd Iane to the SB on ramp for ramp meter queuing and a 3rd Iane to the SB off-ramp at the terminus | | | Bicycle intersection improvements (i.e. green transition lanes) where SR-41 meets new bicycle facilities | Deploy Microgrid systems to optimize energy consumption in communities along SR-41 S |
| | | Bullard Avenue Interchange Improvement - Re-configure interchange to either a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) or a Diverging Diamond configuration. Additional study required to determine the appropriate design. | | | | |



Figure 5:2: Sustainable Corridor Strategies – SR-41 Northern Corridor

| | | SR-41 | Northern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Goals to Address | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| | Create multiple paths of travel that alleviate congestion on the highway and redirect local traffic to transit, carpool and other alternative modes | Pursue roadway improvements which will promote sustainable development of new roadways and rehabilitation of existing roadways | Promote a multi-jurisdictional collaboration for transit services to ensure connectivity and seamless transfers on long distance trips | Adopt bicycle parking requirements at residential, commercial, transit stations, and recreational locations | Provide commuters and travelers with convenient alternatives to single occupant vehicle travel |
| | Actively mitigate negative air quality impacts when implementing new highway infrastructure Prioritize highway improvement projects that would reduce emissions and improve air quality | Create EV supportive infrastructure utilizing state and regional funding incentives. | Develop a well-integrated multi- modal transportation environment on highways and local corridors which support the expansion of public transit | Provide incentives to increase travel by active modes and that supports an active & healthy lifestyle | Provide for reliable, affordable, and efficient travel, particularly for transit and Active Transportation |
| Policies | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support traffic management and manage congestion | Encourage the use of sustainable building materials for new roadways and green infrastructure for treatments surrounding the corridor | Provide high-frequency and efficient public transit that connects passengers to key travel demand locations or to other transit connections | Support technology upgrade that will increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists. | Coordinate with Fresno COG and FAX to incorporate future High-Frequency Transit, local bus service, micro-mobility, and future micro-transit services with a mobility hub into transit priority areas to create a strong transit connection |
| | | support deployment of private and public electric vehicles to reduce the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions from transportation sources | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support travel time reliability and increase transit competitiveness | Encourage walking through improved sidewalks, safe intersections, and treatments that shorten the perceived walking distances through architectural features, landscaping features, or building-to-street design | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support integration of modes and improve information sharing with all users of the road |
| | | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support traffic management and manage congestion | Designate Transit Priority Areas where there are high densities of transit and employment, commercial, and residential uses to increase transit ridership | Support transportation improvement projects that address the needs of the most vulnerable groups of the population | Provide shade, cooling measures, etc. to support walking and the use of public transportation |



| | | SR-41 | Northern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Goals to Address | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | AccessConnectedLocal Economy | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| Policies | | Support roadway improvement projects and policies that improve safety for all users | Ensure that new neighborhoods include transit stops that connect to the countywide transit system, and that are within a quarter mile from all dwelling units | Support transportation improvement projects that address the needs of the most vulnerable groups of the population | Support resilient design of transportation infrastructure to better withstand extreme weather events |
| | | | Freeway express bus concept study | San Joaquin River bikeway feasibility study; San Joaquin River Conservancy has expressed an interest in contributing funding toward enhanced recreational trails along the periphery of SR- 41. These trails could also be utilized as vital active transportation connections along the corridor. | Coordinate with Fresno COG and FAX |
| Studies | | | Transit accessibility study - analyze the time it takes for residents along SR- 41 N to use transit to reach economic and educational opportunity centers, commercial centers, etc. This data can then be used to calculate a "regional access score" for comparison between residential areas. | Bikeway connectivity study; study potential bikeway connections throughout new developments and bikeways surrounding SR- 41 N | to incorporate future high-frequency transit, local bus service, micro-mobility, and future micro-transit services with a mobility hub into transit priority areas to create a strong transit connection |
| | | | Introduce a traffic signal at the intersection of Road 40 1/2 and Children's Boulevard, at Road 40 1/2 and Avenue 10, at Lanes Bridges Dr and Ave 10, and at SR-41-B and Avenue 12. | Safe routes to school program that utilizes collision data and community engagement to identify key locations for analysis/ improvement | |



| | | | SR-41 | Northern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------|----------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Go Address | oals to | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | SR-41 Northern Corridor Multi-Modal/C Resilience nt Access Access Connected Local Economy Connected Local Economy Walking & Biking Access Connected Safety Access Connected Safety Traffic Manageme Access Resilience Implement express bus/freeway-based BRT alignment along SR-41 to better connect new communities to destinations in Fresno County Restilience SR-41. The first 2-mile segment of this trail will extend from near the Madera County Line to Avenue 9. Introduce a student bus pass program with Madera County Line to Avenue 9. Introduce a new MCC route that travels along SR-41 N from the Madera County Line to Avenue 9. Introduce a new MCC route that travels along W Avenue 15, and toward the Madera Metro Transit Center SR-41 Introduce a micro transit pilot program in the Rio Meas Community and other areas waterseement bus road to concert areas Separated bikeway along SR-145 from SR-99 to Road 206 (east of SR-41) Introduce a micro transit pilot program in the Rio Meas Community and other areas waterseement bus road to concert areas waterseement bus road to concert areas waterseement bus road to concert areas Separated bikeway along Avenue 15 from SR-145 to SR-41 Introduce a micro transit pilot pro | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience | |
| Near (<5 Years) | | Expand SB off ramp at Children's Boulevard from 1 lane to 2 lanes | | Implement express bus/freeway-based BRT alignment along SR-41 to better connect new communities to destinations in Fresno County | Bikeway connections between western side of SR-41 to eastern side of the corridor along San Joaquin river as proposed in the River West-Madera Master Plan. The multi-use path proposed by this plan will eventually extend from SR-99 to Friant Dam, located to the east of SR-41. The first 2.7-mile segment of this trail will extend from near the Madera County Line to Avenue 9. | Identify location for park-and-ride facility in Madera County between Avenue 15 and Children's Blvd |
| | Projects | | | Introduce a student bus pass program with Madera CC students/faculty | Separated bikeway along SR-41 from the Madera County Line | Develop partnership linking transit and first-last mile service such as bike shares |
| | | | Introduce a traffic signal at the intersection of Road 40 1/2 and Children's Boulevard, at Road 40 1/2 and Avenue 10, at Lanes Bridges Drive and Avenue 10, and at SR-41B and Avenue 12. | Introduce a new MCC route that travels along SR-41 N from the Madera County line, along W Avenue 15, and toward the Madera Metro Transit Center SR-41 | Separated bikeway along SR-145 from SR-99 to Road 206 (east of SR-41) | AQ sensors on bus fleets; provides an opportunity to monitor the air quality in neighborhoods along FAX fleet route and can assist the region in monitoring/ meeting emission reductions efforts; this is being introduced within other transit agencies in the US and could be beneficial in Fresno/ Madera County given air quality concerns in the region |
| | | New freeway on/off ramps at Avenue 12/ SR-41. | | Introduce a micro transit pilot program in the Rio Mesa Community and other areas underserved by transit areas | Separated bikeway along Avenue 15 from SR-145 to SR-41 | Pursue an Urban Greening program throughout this segment of the study corridor which expands the urban tree canopy and invests in green infrastructure including community gardens and vegetative buffers alongside the corridor and surrounding roadways |
| | | | | Introduce "multimodal mobility hubs" which includes scooter/bike/ride/car share, Delivery Pick Up-Drop Off locations, Bus, Micro transit services at: Valley Children's Hospital (Children's Blvd & Goodwin Way), Madera Ranchos (Avenue 12 1/2 & Rd 36, Riverstone Development (SR-41& Avenue 12) | Bike lane along Rd 36 from SR-145 to Avenue 9 | Identify locations for EV charging stations (multimodal mobility hubs amongst others) |



| | | | SR-41 | 1 Northern Corridor | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Highway | Arterial | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Go Address | oals to | CongestionAir QualityTraffic ManagementLocal economy | stion • Congestion • Access uity • Air Quality • Connected Management • Traffic Management • Local Economy * conomy • Local economy • Local Economy | | Walking & BikingAccessConnectedSafety | Air QualityTraffic ManagementAccessResilience |
| | | | Introduce a traffic signal at the | Improve bus stop conditions along the MCC service route to include landscaping & shade, street furniture, improved lighting, and real time travel information | Bicycle intersection improvements (i.e. green transition lanes) where SR-41 meets new bicycle facilities: SR-145, Avenue 15, Riverstone Trails, Tesoro Viejo Trails) | Administer a traveler information program which consolidates multi- modal transportation/ commute alternative options into a singular app-interface which reflects time/cost savings per mode |
| Near (<5 Years) | Projects New freeway on/off ramps at Avenue 12. | introduce a trainic signal at the intersection of Road 40 1/2 and Children's Boulevard, at Road 40 1/2 and Avenue 10, at Lanes Bridges Drive and Avenue 10, and at SR-41B and | Extend the Vanpool network and offer incentives to employers and employees to increase participation | Introduce sidewalks along Children's Blvd from SR-41 - Peck Boulevard, and along Avenue 12 from SR-41 N - Riverstone Boulevard | | |
| | | | Avenue 12. | Introduce a micro transit pilot program in the Rio Mesa development to connect travelers to MCC VCH Route | Introduce pedestrian crossing and pedestrian crossing beacons at Children's Blvd and Peck Blvd intersection | and at waiting areas at Valley Children's Hospital |
| | | | | Introduce a micro transit pilot program in the Rio Mesa development to connect travelers to MCC VCH Route | | |
| | | Widen SR-41 N from 2 lanes to 4 lanes from Avenue 10 to SR-145 | Widen Road 40 1/2 from 2 lanes to 4 lanes from Avenue 10 to Avenue 9 | Increased bus service hours on Saturdays to Clovis Community College campus, as well as a connection from Fresno. | Multi-use path along San Joaquin river | LoNo Emission Vehicle Program; this is a program introduced by the FTA that is seeking to introduce Low to No emission bus fleet vehicles |
| Mid (5-15 years) | Projects | | | Additional YARTS stops along SR-41 at Avenue 9 and Avenue 10. | Multi-use paths throughout planned developments along SR-41 N (Gunner Ranch West, Tesoro Viejo, Riverstone) | Develop parklets at key locations along corridor |
| | | | | Introduce a multi-jurisdictional BRT line that connects Valley Children's Hospital to workers living in Fresno County. | Multi-use path along Friant Road from SR-41 to Millerton Road | |
| Long (15+ | Projecto | Implement HOV lanes along SR-41 between the Madera | | | | Deploy Microgrid systems to optimize energy consumption in communities along SR-41 S |
| years) | Frojects | County Line and Children's Boulevard | | | | Introduce Solar Roads on collector/ local roads to power traffic signs, dynamic wayfinding signage, street lights, etc. |



Table 5.3: Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies – Avenue 9

| | | | Avenue 9 | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | Highway | Roadway | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Goals to Address | Traffic ManagementReduce CongestionLocal EconomySafety | Traffic ManagementReduce CongestionLocal EconomySafety | ConnectedAccessAir Quality | Walking and Biking Safety Access Connected Healthy and Well | Walking and BikingSafetyAccessResilience |
| Policies | | Support roadway reconfiguration that reduce conflicts between freight, agricultural machinery, and private vehicles. | Introduce public transit options along the corridor that better connects passengers to major transportation corridors or transit stations, especially as development along Avenue 9 increases. | Promote the construction of a safe, efficient, and well-connected bicycle network | Prepare for new residential growth surrounding the corridor by planning and creating additional multimodal transportation connections |
| | N/A | Support deployment of private and public electric vehicles to reduce the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support travel time reliability and increase transit competitiveness | Create equitable access to active transportation network by increasing transit frequency, access locations, safety and affordability for neighboring communities | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support integration of modes and improve information sharing with all users of the road |
| | | Capitalize on existing and future technology to support traffic management and manage congestion | Ensure that new neighborhoods include transit stops that connect to the countywide transit system, and that are within a quarter mile from all dwelling units | Support technology upgrade that increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists. | Support resilient design of transportation infrastructure to better withstand extreme weather events |
| | | Actively mitigate negative air quality impacts when implementing new roadway infrastructure | | Build on the pedestrian network to support safe walking as development increases along Avenue 9 | |
| | | Support deployment of private and public electric vehicles as well as EV supportive infrastructure to reduce the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions from transportation sources | | | |
| | | Identify potential alternative routes for truck traffic currently traveling along Avenue 9 | Freeway bus rapid transit concept study to consider a potential new route that runs along SR-41 N and Avenue 9, offering a connection to SR-99 as well | Class IV bikeway implementation plan along Avenue 9 and for appropriate connections | |
| Studies | | Study to identify the volume and travel patterns of farming equipment along Avenue 9. Also include crash data between farming equipment and other roadway users | Transit accessibility study - analyze the time it takes for residents along SR-41 N to use transit to reach economic and educational opportunity centers, commercial centers, etc. This data can then be used to calculate a "regional access score" for comparison between residential areas. | Build off of the proposed bikeways in the Madera County 2018 Active Transportation Plan | |
| | | | | | |



| | | | | Avenue 9 | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | Highway | Roadway | Public Transit | Active Transportation | Multi-Modal/Climate Resiliency |
| Project Goals to Address | | Traffic ManagementReduce CongestionLocal EconomySafety | Traffic ManagementReduce CongestionLocal EconomySafety | ConnectedAccessAir Quality | Walking and BikingSafetyAccessConnectedHealthy and Well | Walking and BikingSafetyAccessResilience |
| | | | Introduce speed feedback displays along the corridor at regular intervals | Add additional bus stops in Gunner Ranch West development and in commercial areas | A lane expansion is proposed on Children's Boulevard, thus this is a good opportunity to also include a buffered bikeway from the intersection of Children's Boulevard and the SR-41 N On/Off ramps until the corridor's intersection with Road 40 1/2. | Pursue an Urban Greening program throughout this segment of the study corridor which expands the urban tree canopy, invests in green infrastructure alongside the corridor, and connects to the San Joaquin River Master Parkway Plan |
| | | | Widen Children's Avenue from 4 lanes to 6 lanes & include roadway shoulders between SR-41 and Road 40 1/2 | | Separated bikeway along Avenue 9 from Children's Boulevard to SR-99 | |
| Near (<5 years) Pro | Projects | Projects N/A Additi Bridg Additi from Introc which for ag rever agric | Additional wayfinding signage at Lane Bridge Drive, SR-41 On/Off Ramps | | Bicycle intersection improvements (i.e. green transition lanes) at Avenue 9 and SR-99 | Use reclaimed asphalt pavements (RAP) or recycled material components (RMCs) in pavement treatments when road repairs are needed. RMCs are generated from industrial by- products and can reduce GHG emissions. |
| | | | Additional lighting along the corridor from Road 40 1/2 to SR-98 | | Add lighting along new bike facilities on Avenue 9 for bicyclist safety | |
| | | | Introduce roadway improvements which facilitate efficient movement for agricultural machinery, such as reversible lanes or shoulder lanes for agricultural use | | Introduce sidewalks along Children's Boulevard from SR-41 to Road 40 1/1 | |
| | | | | | Improve the bikeway connection between Avenue 9 and the SR-41 Northern Corridor. Green transition lanes can be implemented through the intersection of Children's Blvd and the on/Off ramps of SR-41. | |
| Mid (5- 15 years) | Projects | | Install roadway shoulders along Avenue 9 from SR-99 to Road 40 1/2 | New MCC route along Avenue 9 to provide a better connection for residential developments along SR-41 N. The addition of a dedicated bus lane/ bus treatments could also have indirect traffic calming benefits | A lane expansion is proposed along Avenue 9, which would increase this roadway's capacity from 2 lanes to 4. Thus, there is opportunity to include a Class IV bikeway to ensure bicyclist safety and comfort. | Introduce bioswales and porous pavement on landscaping surrounding corridors and on roadway shoulders |
| Long (15+ | Projects | | | Widen Avenue 9 from 2 lanes to 4 lanes from Road 39 to SB-99 | | Madera County should collaborate with CalFire to identify the most resilient vegetative species which would also enhance the biodiversity of native species along the corridor. |
| years | | | | | | Incorporate "leisure space" or pocket parks along Children's Boulevard for workers, patients, and visitors |



Figures 5.2 through 5.5 reflect the proposed locations for the sustainable corridor management strategies. The maps are categorized by mode, including roadway strategies, transit projects, active transportation strategies, and sustainability projects.



Figure 5.2: Proposed Roadway Strategies



Figure 5.3: Proposed Transit Strategies





Figure 5.4: Proposed Active Transportation Strategies





Figure 5.5: Proposed Sustainability Strategies



Figures 5.6 to 5.12 depict key improvement projects proposed along the study corridors, notably:

- The addition of an HOV lane along SR-41, which could eventually be utilized for a freeway BRT service
- The addition of active transportation amenities along Blackstone Avenue to support alternative modes and improve safety
- The implementation of a mobility hub around Fresno City College, including micro transit services and technology
- The design of a multimodal path connecting with existing bike infrastructure along San Joaquin River.
- The widening of Avenue 9 and the addition of a shoulder and protected bike path to reduce conflicts between users and improve safety.



Figure 5.6: High Occupancy Vehicle Lanes Along SR-41



Figure 5.7: Express Bus Service along SR-41





Figure 5.8: Active Transportation Amenities Along Blackstone Avenue



Figure 5.9: San Joaquin River Multi-Modal Path





Figure 5.10: San Joaquin River Multi-Modal Path



Figure 5.11: Avenue 9 Widening and Protected Bicycle Lane





Figure 5.12: Multi-Modal Mobility Hub Near Fresno City College





6. Alternatives Analysis

The analysis of the proposed alternatives includes the analysis of the model run scenarios provided by the Bi-County ABM, spatial and demographic data, and qualitative factors. Table 6.1 summarizes the guiding principles, goals, objectives, and performance metrics that were used to perform the alternatives analysis. The table also summarizes the approach taken to achieve each of the nine goals and corresponding performance metrics. A more detailed analysis is provided in the following sections.



Table 6.1: Evaluation Approach Summary

| GUIDING PRINCIPLE | SR-41/Avenue 9 GOAL | SR-41/Avenue 9 OBJECTIVES PERFORMANCE METRIC | | APPROACH |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Sustainability | Congestion: Reduce congestion through alternative transportation and technology | Increase availability of sustainable transportation alternatives Introduce technology which can streamline multi-modal operation | Mode Share Transit On-Time Performance | Bi-County ABM Model Transit on-time performance to be monitored by FAX following implementation of new BRT service |
| | Air Quality: Reduce air pollution from transportation sources along the corridors | Support the deployment of private and public electric vehicles. Reduce the use of carbon-based fuels, vehicle miles traveled, and greenhouse gases | CalEnviroScreen data reflecting percentiles of census tracts exposed to high Ozone and PM2.5 concentrations within the study area Number of charging stations along the two study corridors Expansion of electric bus fleet VMT per capita | Review of CalEnviroScreen data Manual counts of charging stations or deployment of wireless charging technology Percent of Bus fleet being electric GIS Analysis |
| | Traffic Management: Manage transportation demand, vehicle miles traveled, and traffic speeds | Implement traffic management strategies and technologies that increase safety and reduce delays that stem from conflicts between commuters and local users. | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) HOV lane person throughput and vehicle occupancy rates Vehicle Hours Delay (VHD) | Bi-County ABM Model |
| | Walking + Biking: Improve infrastructure for walking, biking, and rolling | Increased development of the regional bikeway and pedestrian networks | Percentage of sidewalk and bike path coverage Additional miles of protected and separated bike facilities | GIS Analysis |
| Equity | Access: Improve access to transit services and non-automobile mobility opportunities | Support accessible and effective transportation options for vulnerable and transit-dependent populations. | Percentage of disadvantaged communities located within 0.25 miles of a transit stop | GIS Analysis |
| | Connected: Increase connections to residential areas and key employment, education, and recreation opportunities | Maximize connections to key activity centers and transit priority areas | Percent of the urban population within 0.25 miles of a transit stop Average commute time to employment and education centers | GIS Analysis |
| | Safety: improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicular traffic | Support the design of infrastructure that improve safety for all users of the road. | Number of fatalities and serious injuries reported in annual SWITRS data Crash modification factors by alternative | Review of SWITRS data Crash Reduction Factor Analysis developed based on the Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors provided by the U.S. DOT FHWA.⁷ |
| Resiliency | Local Economy: Support local businesses through improved access and placemaking | Create opportunities for residents and visitors to frequent local businesses | Percent of residents within a mile of a commercial street/ area | GIS Analysis |
| | Healthy & Well: Support active and healthy lifestyles within the community | Support the development of infrastructure that support physical activity | Data reflecting percentiles of census tracts with Asthma and Cardiovascular disease within the study area Percent of residents within 0.25 miles of urban green space, urban trails or community parks | Review of CalEnviroScreen data GIS Analysis. |

⁷ US DOT FHWA. 2007. "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors".



Table 6.2 summarizes the model run scenarios from the Bi-County ABM used to understand how the proposed sustainable corridor management strategies would impact travel patterns in the study area. The runs isolate some key projects to determine their specific impacts. They however all include transit and active transportation improvements, as these are key elements of a sustainable and equity approach, and to capture the added benefits of multimodal synergies. Finally, Scenario 5 combines all the projects to assess the overall impacts of the plan. A complete list of projects run through the model is provided in Appendix E.

Table 6.2: Model Run Scenarios Summary

| RUN | PROJECT | HIGHWAY | TRANSIT | ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION |
|-----|--|-------------|------------|--------------------------|
| SN1 | SR-41 highway (auxiliary lanes) + transit + Active Transportation (AT) | 3 projects | 6 projects | 15 projects |
| SN2 | SR-41 highway (HOV lane) + transit + AT | 3 projects | 6 projects | 15 projects |
| SN3 | SR-41 highway (new ramp, expanded ramp capacity, and road widening) + transit + AT | 4 projects | 6 projects | 15 projects |
| SN4 | Avenue 9 (widen roadway) + transit + AT | 3 projects | 6 projects | 15 projects |
| SN5 | All highway in previous 4 runs + transit + AT | 13 projects | 6 projects | 15 projects |

6.1 Congestion

6.1.1 Congestion Performance Metrics

- Mode Share
- Transit On-Time Performance

6.1.2 Analysis

The improvements proposed through the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study aim to reduce congestion both along the study corridors and within the region. However, considering the low levels of congestion recorded, the focus is on promoting alternative modes of transportation as opposed to capacity increases. Changes in mode share are a good indicator in projects' ability to offer a competitive alternative to single-occupancy vehicles.

The Fresno-Madera Bi-County ABM provides an output reflecting mode share within Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZ). However, the model has some limitations in its ability to measure the impact active transportation projects. Projects that could not be modeled are listed below:



| HIGHWAY PROJECTS | TRANSIT PROJECTS | ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Complete street improvements | Improved bus stop amenities | Bicycle intersection improvements | |
| Install traffic signals | YARTS stops | Bike share | |
| Interchange/ intersection improvements | Extend weekend service and increase frequency | Crosswalk improvements | |
| Add street lights | Micro-transit connections | Landscaping & amenities | |
| Add raised/ landscaped medians | Multi-modal mobility hub | Lighting | |
| Reversible HOV lanes | Operational improvements (signal priority, queue jumps) | Multi-use path | |
| Reversible lane/ shoulder lanes | Traveler information app | Pedestrian crossing and beacons | |
| Roadway shoulders | Vanpool program and participation incentives | Sidewalk | |
| Speed displays | Improved bus stop amenities | Wayfinding signage | |
| Traffic signal coordination | | | |
| Wayfinding signage | | | |

*Note: Sustainability projects cannot be modeled

Figures 6.1 and 6.2 reflect segments where traffic is flowing slower than the posted speed limit. This is used to indicate traffic congestion. The figures below reflect the traffic speeds under model scenario SN5, as this scenario includes all proposed sustainable corridor management strategies. When compared to figures 2.11 through 2.15, pockets of traffic congestion along the arterials surrounding SR-41 are relieved. This indicates that the proposed sustainable corridor management strategies on traffic efficiency and congestion.









Figure 6.2: Scenario 5: Traffic Speeds During PM Peak Hour



Additionally, the Volume over Capacity Ratio Analysis shows that roadway infrastructure under Scenario 5 are under less strain than under the No-Build scenario. This suggests that commuters are using alternative modes of transportation and reducing the numbers of cars on the road at key periods such as during peak times. The following maps show significant relief on not only highway systems in the Study area but also on local roadways.



Figure 6.3: Horizon Year 2046: Volume to Capacity AM Peak Period











Table 6.3: % Mode Share Across All Model Run Scenarios

| MODE | SCENARIO | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | No build | SN1 | SN2 | SN3 | SN4 | SN5 | |
| Walk | 7.6% | 7.6% | 7.6% | 7.6% | 7.6% | 7.6% | |
| Bike | 2.0% | 2.2% | 2.2% | 2.3% | 2.2% | 2.2% | |
| SOV | 45.2% | 45.0% | 45.1% | 45.1% | 45.1% | 45.0% | |
| Transit | 1.3% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 1.3% | |

Figure 6.5: Electric Vehicle Charging Stations and Proposed Electric Bus Routes





Table 6.4: Transit Boardings Across Model Run Scenarios

| | MODEL RUN SCENARIO | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| | SN1 | SN2 | SN3 | SN4 | SN5 |
| Total # Of Boardings | 27717 | 27624 | 27447 | 27837 | 27462 |
| % Difference From 2046 No Build Scenario | - 0.33% | -0.97% | 0.44% | -0.92% | -0.334% |

Table 6.5: % Mode Share Across All Model Run Scenarios

| MODE | SCENARIO | | | | | |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | SN1 | SN2 | SN3 | SN4 | SN5 | |
| Walk | -0.3% | -0.6% | -0.4% | -0.5% | -0.5% | |
| Bike | 10.8% | 9.7% | 11.4% | 10.9% | 10.9% | |
| SOV | -0.3% | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.4% | |
| Transit | -1.6% | -2.8% | -2.0% | -2.5% | -1.8% | |

The percentage of trips made via single-occupancy-vehicle (SOV), transit, walking or biking under the model run scenarios is provided in Table 6.3. Values presented in the table are derived from regional trips made to and from the 1-mile study area buffer. In addition, the percent difference in mode share for the same modes between the "no build" and the five different scenarios is summarized in Table 6.4. The model analysis shows increase in bike trips compared to the No-build scenarios, as well as slight decrease in SOV trips. The slight increase in bike trips is likely in response to the additional bikeway improvement projects proposed in the sustainable corridor management strategies. Currently, most bike trips are made for recreational purposes. However, with the proposed improvements, commuter trips made by bicycle are expected to increase. Similarly, trips made via SOV likely decreased due to improvements made to the HOV facilities. Trips made via HOV have also increased as a result, as discussed in section 6.3.

While the modeled data is only showing some incremental changes, it is expected that a shift to more sustainable modes would be much more prominent as a result of the local jurisdiction's efforts to develop Transit-Oriented Development projects, which would bring residents closer to alternative modes of transportation and make these modes more attractive. The noted decrease in transit ridership likely stems from improvements made to the other modes, including HOV lane, auxiliary lane, roadway widening, and bike facility improvements. Although transit projects were added, improvements made to the other modes likely took absorbed some transit ridership, resulting in an overall decrease in transit trips. Transit ridership is reflected by stop for SN 5 in figure 6.3 below and the percent difference between the total number of boardings between each model run scenario and the 2046 Transit on-time performance measures how often a transit vehicle arrives at its stop within roughly five minutes of its scheduled arrival. Since the BRT project being proposed does not exist yet, it cannot be measured at this time. However, the utilization of managed lanes and transit signal priorities is expected to improve transit time performance for this service, providing a more interesting alternative to single-occupancy vehicles.



6.2 Air Quality

6.2.1 Air Quality Performance Metrics

- CalEnviroScreen data reflecting percentiles of census tracts exposed to high Ozone and PM2.5 concentrations within the study area
- Number of charging stations along the two study corridors
- Expansion of electric bus fleet
- VMT per capita

6.2.2 Analysis

The SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study has a particular focus on sustainability and environmental justice. Emissions from transportation vehicles is one of the greatest contributors to GHG emissions, which have historically disproportionately impacted disadvantaged communities. Therefore, improvements proposed through the study should minimize vehicle emissions in an effort to improve regional air quality. A review of CalEnviroScreen data reflecting percentiles of census tracts exposed to high ozone and PM2.5 concentrations within the study area will be provided to identify the communities which will be most impacted by the proposed improvements. These communities are reflected in Figures 6.6 and 6.7. Since CalEnviroScreen is a tool providing historical data, it is impossible to determine the impacts of the proposed improvements on air quality. In addition, proposed active transportation and HOV infrastructure will reduce trips made via SOV. This will have a positive impact on air quality, as GHG emissions will be reduced as a result.



Figure 6.6: Ozone Percentiles





Figure 6.7: PM2.5 Percentiles



Figure 6.8 shows the percent difference in VMT per Capita between model scenario 5 and the 2046 No-Build Horizon Year scenario. Scenario 5 was chosen to calculate the percent difference between the model run scenarios and the no-build, as scenario 5 includes all proposed projects and thus would result in the most significant changes in VMT per Capita. It should be noted that some TAZ zones reflected in the figure below have significantly lower populations than neighboring TAZ zones, resulting in higher VMT per Capita. This also results in some TAZ zones appearing to have higher percent differences in VMT per Capita as opposed to neighboring TAZ zones.

A decrease in VMT resulting from the proposed sustainable corridor management strategies also indicates a decrease in GHG emissions resulting from transportation vehicles. Although some localized areas experienced an increase in VMT, this did not result in a regional increase in VMT per Capita and therefore will also not contribute significantly toward regional GHG emissions.





Figure 6.8: VMT Per Capita % Difference Between SN 5 and 2046 No-Build Scenario

A number of EV charging stations along the two study corridors have been proposed to increase accessibility to PEV charging infrastructure throughout all communities. Manual counts of new charging station will need to be conducted over time to determine the fulfillment of this measure. EV charging stations are proposed at each of the nine multi-modal mobility hubs throughout the study area. These locations are highlighted in Figure 6.9 below. Additionally, new wireless charging stations could also be implemented to minimize space requirements and support further deployment of public and private EV vehicles.

Expanding the PEV Charging network at the proposed multi-modal mobility hub locations will help Fresno and Madera Counties meet the goals and objectives listed in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's Plug-In Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan, including:

- Educating low-income and rural communities on the benefits of PEVs with a focus on the relative low total cost of ownership using multilingual methods and materials
- Shape the perception of PEVs as a viable transportation method and as a reliable primary household vehicle for all Valley residents, not one specific socioeconomic group
- Target consumers in environmental justice communities with specific resources necessary to promote the viability of PEVs





Figure 6.9: Electric Vehicle Charging Stations and Proposed Electric Bus Routes


6.3 Traffic Management

6.3.1 Performance Metrics

- Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)
- HOV lane person throughput and vehicle occupancy rates
- Vehicle Hours Delay (VHD)

6.3.2 Analysis

Vehicle occupancy rates and Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) are all key indicators of traffic management along the study corridors and along the surrounding major arterials. These indicators provide insight into traffic congestion along the study corridors, as VHD reflects the cumulative number of hours that travelers spent traveling below 35 mph in a day. Vehicles traveling below 35 mph on roadways indicates that travelers are experiencing some level of traffic congestion. By pinpointing these locations, the proposed sustainable corridor management strategies aim to relieve these localized points of congestion.

HOV flows indicate whether travelers are shifting from SOV to high occupancy vehicle s(HOVs), including transit or carpooling. As the number of trips made via HOV increases, the overall number of vehicles on the road decreases. This would result in reduced traffic congestion. The Bi-County ABM provides an output for each of these key performance indicators.

The model presents significant transfers from general purpose (GP) lanes to HOV lanes as shown under Scenario 2 of the model analysis. Scenario 5 depicts even more changes, as a result of synergies and cumulative benefits of all the projects combined. Table 6.5 and 6.6 show two locations along SR-41, one on the northern segment (between Copper Avenue and Shepherd Avenue) and one on the southern segment (just south of Sequoia Kings Canyon Freeway), and the impacts of the addition of the HOV lanes on overall traffic flows. Although the volume, or number of vehicles remain relatively the same, the fact that the HOV lanes are in use suggest additional commuters on the road, whom may otherwise have traveled by themselves.

| Location | Direction | Segment type | No Build | SN 2 | SN 5 |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|
| SR-41 Northern Corridor | Northbound | GP | 39,833 | 31,786 | 32,300 |
| | Northbound | HOV | - | 8,640 | 8,875 |
| | Southbound | GP | 40,247 | 31,759 | 32,269 |
| | | HOV | - | 9,384 | 9,687 |

Table 6-5: Impacts of HOV Lanes on Traffic Flows along the SR-41 Northern Corridor

Table 6.6: Impacts of HOV Lanes on Traffic Flows along the SR-41 Southern Corridor

| Location | Direction | Segment type | No Build | SN 2 | SN 5 |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|
| SR-41 Southern Corridor | Northbound | GP | 56,176 | 46,296 | 46,715 |
| | Northbound | HOV | - | 10,995 | 11,076 |
| | Southbound — | GP | 64,175 | 53,807 | 53,567 |
| | | HOV | - | 13,383 | 13,579 |



VHD is a key indicator for traffic flows along major corridors. It refers to the additional time people spend for their daily commute, compared to conditions with no traffic. Lower VHD indicates less congestion along major corridors. The model output indicated significant changes in VHD between the 2046 horizon year "no-build" scenario and the model run scenarios. Significant reductions in VHD were noted along major arterials including Herndon Avenue, Bullard Avenue, Shaw Avenue, Shields Avenue, and Ashlan Avenue. Variation in VHD was also noted along Avenue 9, Fresno Street, and First Street. A reduction in VHD was reflected along the SR-41 Southern Corridor, however some variation in VHD was noted along the northern segment.

It should also be noted that improvements made to HOV facilities also contributed toward some variation in the VHD values reflected in the table below. For example, it was found that the HOV in SN 2 and the auxiliary lane additions in SN 1 created some pockets of congestion along Avenue 9. However, delays were still reduced across all model run scenarios when compared to the 2046 No-Build Scenario, indicating that the proposed strategies have a positive impact on traffic congestion.

| | DAILY VHD | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|--|--|
| CORRIDOR | SN 1 | SN 2 | SN 3 | SN 4 | SN 5 | 2046 NO BUILD | | |
| SR-41 Southern Corridor | 179,198 | 82,916 | 231,641 | 212,330 | 82,414 | 235,903 | | |
| SR-41 Northern Corridor | 29,722 | 32,807 | 5,010 | 28,384 | 5,275 | 13,604 | | |
| Avenue 9 | 95 | 47 | 7 | 8 | 26 | 12 | | |
| Palm Avenue | 7,058 | 5,963 | 6,908 | 7,505 | 5,989 | 12,303 | | |
| Blackstone Avenue | 19,075 | 16,038 | 19,743 | 19,502 | 15,849 | 22,861 | | |
| Fresno Street | 7,204 | 6,172 | 7,464 | 7,998 | 6,316 | 8,430 | | |
| First Street | 4,706 | 3,530 | 5,189 | 5,450 | 3,674 | 4,942 | | |
| Herndon Avenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,213 | | |
| Bullard Avenue | 8,587 | 10,277 | 8,556 | 8,650 | 10,286 | 23,675 | | |
| Shaw Avenue | 24,569 | 24,917 | 23,305 | 22,579 | 22,992 | 36,072 | | |
| Shields Avenue | 12,410 | 14,416 | 12,340 | 11,444 | 15,268 | 17,504 | | |
| Ashlan Avenue | 10,286 | 10,823 | 10,022 | 10,928 | 11,150 | 15,064 | | |

Table 6.8: Projected Daily VHD Along Major Corridors in the Study Area



6.4 Walking and Biking

6.4.1 Performance Metrics

- Percentage of sidewalk and bike path coverage
- Additional miles of protected and separated bike facilities

6.4.2 Analysis

Improvements to the walking and biking network play a critical role to accomplishing the study's guiding principle of equity. The Study proposes the addition of bike paths and sidewalks to close gaps within the existing network and to improve safety along key corridors within the study area. While it is challenging to quantitatively measure the impact that improved walking and biking infrastructure has on the larger transportation network, it plays a significant role in creating sustainable and equitable travel alternatives for community members.

A GIS analysis was performed to identify the total percentage of bike path coverage over the total distance of roadways within the study area. Total bike path coverage was found to cover 32 percent of roadways within the study area,

A summary table has been provided to detail the total number of new miles of walking and biking infrastructure proposed to be added through the sustainable corridor management strategies. The results are displayed in Table 6.9. A total of 2.25 miles of new sidewalks, 17.7 miles of new Class I bikeways, 9 miles of Class II bikeways, and 39.85 miles of Class IV bikeways are proposed to be added.



| IMPROVEMENT TYPE | CORRIDOR SEGMENT | EXTENTS | # OF NEW MILES ADDED | TOTAL # OF MILES | |
|---------------------|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Cidamelle | SR-41 North Avenue 12: SR-41 N – Riverstone Road | | 0.75 miles | | |
| Sidewalk | Avenue 9 | Children's Boulevard: SR-41 – Road 40 1/2 | 1.5 miles | 2.25 miles | |
| | SR-41 | McKinley Ave: First Street – N Clovis Avenue | 4 miles | | |
| Class I Bikeway | South | Friant Road: SR-41 – Millerton Road | 11.2 miles | 17 7 miles | |
| | SR-41 North | San Joaquin River Trail Extension: Road 40 & Avenue 7 1/2 - SR-41 & Avenue 9 | 2.5 miles | | |
| Class II Bikeway | SR-41 North | Road 36: SR-145 – Avenue 9 | 9 miles | 9 miles | |
| | | First Street: Friant Road – E Kings Canyon Road | 7.5 miles | | |
| | | Palm Avenue: Bullard Avenue – Ashlan Avenue | 2 miles | 39.85 | |
| | | Maple Avenue: Copper Avenue – Sierra Avenue | 4.5 miles | | |
| | SR-41 South | Wishon Avenue: Shields Avenue – Olive Avenue | 1.5 miles | | |
| | | Barstow Avenue: N Fruit Avenue – N Clovis Avenue | 6.5 miles | | |
| | | Belmont Avenue: Wishon Avenue – Clovis Avenue | 5.6 miles | | |
| | | Fruit Avenue: Herndon Avenue – Olive Avenue | 5.5 miles | | |
| | | Clinton Avenue: Fruit Avenue – First Street | 2.5 miles | | |
| | | P Street: Abbey Street – Ventura Street | 0.80 miles | | |
| Class IV | | H Street: Ventura Street – Tuolumne Street | 0.5 miles | | |
| Bikeway | | Van Ness Avenue: Divisadero Street – Los Angeles Street | 1.2 miles | | |
| | | Fulton Street: Ventura Street – Fresno Street | 0.75 miles | | |
| | | Blackstone Avenue: Herndon Avenue – McKinley Avenue | 5 miles | | |
| | | Blackstone Avenue: Divisadero Street – McKinley Avenue | 1.5 miles | | |
| | SR-41 North | SR-41B: Avenue 10 & Lane Bridges Drive – Avenue 12 | 2.2 miles | 3.7 miles | |
| | | Children's Boulevard: SR-41 – Avenue 9 | 1.5 miles | | |
| | Avenue 9 | Avenue 9: Children's Boulevard to SR 99 | 7 miles | 7 miles | |

Table 6.9: New Miles of Walking & Biking Infrastructure Added "SR-41 Northern Corridor" and "SR-41 Southern Corridor"



6.5 Access

6.5.1 Performance Metrics

• Percentage of disadvantaged communities located within 0.25 miles of a transit stop

6.5.2 Analysis

Improving access to transportation connections is a central component of the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridors Study. More specifically, this study aims to improve access to sustainable transportation alternatives to car travel. Although this effort applied to all communities, it had a special focus on improving access within disadvantaged communities which have historically been underserved by transit and critical transportation infrastructure. The new route proposed along SR-41 is expected to add additional transit options to underserved communities. A spatial analysis was performed to identify the percent of disadvantaged communities located within 0.25 miles of a transit stop to assess the impact that the proposed improvements have on access to transportation. A summary table reflecting the total count and percentage of disadvantaged communities within 0.25 miles of a transit stop is provided in table 6.10.

Table 6.10 indicates that over 50 percent of the population is located within 0.25 miles of a transit stop. There is an incremental increase in the percentage of households and population that are located within a transit stop when new stops are added. Additionally, the implementation of a new BRT route would further support transit-reliant communities in accessing opportunities through more efficient and comfortable mobility options.

Table 6.10: Disadvantaged Communities Within A Quarter Mile of a Transit Stop

| | 70741 | SCENARIO | | | |
|------------|---------|------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| VARIABLE | TUTAL | | No Build | No Build + New Stops | |
| Bonulation | 830,190 | Total Population | 442,428 | 443,931 | |
| Population | | % of Population | 53.3 % | 53.8 % | |



6.6 Connected

6.6.1 Performance Metrics

- Percent of the urban population within 0.25 miles of a transit stop
- Average commute time to employment and education centers

6.6.2 Analysis

Improvements to the transportation network should improve connectivity between residential areas and key employment, education and recreation opportunities. Connectivity to these key activity centers and transit priority areas can be enhanced by increased access to transit. A GIS analysis was performed to identify the percent of the urban population within 0.25 miles of a transit stop to ensure that a transit stop has been placed within a walkable distance of most travelers. As reflected in Figure 6.10, a total of 93% of the urban population is located within a quarter mile of a transit stop within the study area across all model run scenarios. This shows great potential for conversion of SOV trips to transit, provided that service and access to transit stop is adequate. This should be further enhanced by the proposed BRT line and pedestrian improvements.

Figure 6.10: TAZ Within a Quarter Mile of a Transit Stop





6.7 Safety

6.7.1 Performance Metrics

- Number of fatalities and serious injuries reported in annual SWITRS data
- Crash modification factors by alternative

6.7.2 Analysis

The proposed sustainable corridor strategies aim to improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists, and all motorists. Safety improvements can be assessed by reviewing collision and crash reductions. Although forecasted collision data is not available, SWITRS data can provide historical data and will be reviewed by Fresno COG and MCTC as part of their respective Regional Plan Updates. In addition, crash reduction factors by alternatives is summarized in table 6.11 by improvement type. A crash reduction factor refers to the percentage of reduction in fatal/ injury crashes expected after an improvement is installed. The table below provides a high-level overview of the anticipated reduction in collisions resulting from proposed roadway and active transportation improvements. Crash reduction factors were sourced from the FHWA Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors and from the Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse. ⁸

Key findings reflected that the proposed improvements would have a positive impact on the safety of all roadway users, reducing the likelihood of all collision types across all improvement types. Most specifically, the implementation of Class IV protected bikeways, sidewalks and enforcement for speed reduction would drastically reduce the likelihood of collisions.

⁸ https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/speedmgt/ref_mats/fhwasa1304/resources/CRF%20Desktop%20Reference.pdf



Table 6.11: Crash Reduction Factors

| MODE | IMPROVEMENT | CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|
| | Auxiliary lanes | 26 % |
| | Increase number of lanes for on/off ramps (>5,000 vehicles/ lane/ day) | 31 % |
| Highway | Interchange improvement: Lane widening | 31 % |
| | Interchange improvement: Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI | Not available |
| | Interchange improvement: Diverging diamond | 33 % |
| | Traffic Signal Coordination | 17 % |
| | Install traffic signal | 28 % |
| Artorial | Install raised median | 20 % |
| Aitenai | Change shoulder type and/or width | 100 % |
| | Add roadway shoulders | 9% |
| | Increase number of lanes (<5,000 daily vehicles/day) | 31 % |
| | Install pedestrian crossing (signed and marked with curb ramps and extensions) | 37 % |
| | Install flashing beacons as advance warning | 30 % |
| | Improve pedestrian crossing | 25 % |
| | Bicycle friendly intersection | 35 % |
| Active | Green transition lanes | 41% |
| Transportation | Class II bike lanes | 42 % |
| | Class IV bikeways ⁹ | 74% |
| | Install lighting | 30 % |
| | Improve lighting | 20-25 % |
| | Increase enforcement to reduce speed | 70 % |
| | Install Sidewalk | 88 % |

⁹ Fresno COG. 2017. "Fresno-Clovis Class IV Bikeway Design Guide".



6.8 Local Economy

6.8.1 Performance Metrics

• Percent of residents within a mile of a commercial street/ area

6.8.2 Analysis

Supporting local businesses is essential to maintaining the resiliency of Fresno and Madera Counties. As recently demontrated with the COVID-19 pandemic, unforeseen circumstances can have drastic impacts on local businesses. By improving placemaking and public access to local businesses, they will be more equipped to adapt to future changes in technology, mobility or employment trends. A spatial analysis was performed to identify the percentage of residents within a 15-minute commute of a commercial area. This analysis was performed for trips made via walking, biking, or via car travel. A ¾-mile radius was used to simulate a 15-minute commute for trips made by foot, a 3-mile radius for trips made by bike, and a 10-mile radius for trips made by car. The proposed sustainable corridor management strategies include roadway, transit, pedestrian, and bikeway network improvements that will reduce travel times by offering improved and more efficient connections. These improvements therefore can provide more direct connections between residential areas and commercial streets and centers.

In addition, this analysis is in alignment with Fresno and Madera Counties' Transit Oriented Development (TOD) goals. It will help Fresno and Madera Counties to identify which communities would most benefit from TOD development. This analysis is provided in in Table 6.12.

% OF URBAN POPULATION WITHIN A 15-MINUTE COMMUTE **OF A COMMERCIAL STREET/ AREA COMMERCIAL AREA** By Foot (3/4 miles) By Bike (3-miles) By Car (10-miles) **Riverpark shopping center** 7 % 32 % 92 % **Fashion Fair Mall** 9 % 48% 94 % **Manchester Center** 12 % 52 % 92 % **Downtown Fresno** 24 % 51 % 89 % 47 % 98 % Blackstone Avenue: Herndon Avenue - Olive Avenue 85 % Shields Ave: First Street - Millbrook Avenue 9 % 47 % 91 % Shaw Ave: First Street - SR-168 7 % 44 % 95 % Herndon Avenue: First Street - Cedar Avenue 6 % 34 % 95 % SR-41B: Avenue 10 - Avenue 11 2 % 13 % 60 % **Total Study Area Population** 207,461

Table 6.12: Summary of Urban Population within a 15 – Minute Commute of a Major Commercial Street or Area Across All Model Run Scenarios



6.9 Healthy and Well

6.9.1 Performance Metrics

- Data reflecting percentiles of census tracts with asthma and cardiovascular disease within the study area
- Percent of residents within 0.25 miles of urban green space, urban trails or community parks

6.9.2 Analysis

The improvements proposed through this study aim to support active and healthy lifestyles in the communities surrounding SR-41 and Avenue 9. In achieving this aim, the impacts of adverse health conditions caused by a lack of physical activity can be minimized. Although it is not within the scope of this study to identify specific locations for pocket parks, green spaces, and other types of places that support physical activity, these types of locations should be prioritized in disadvantaged communities. Furthermore, health data can be monitored by Fresno CGO and Madera CTC over time.



7. Recommended Improvements

A rough order of magnitude costing matrix for projects is presented in Table 7.1. Projects are divided by corridor segment, project category, and timeline. In addition, a summary table presenting potential funding sources is provided in Table 7.2. Funding sources are divided based on mode and agency level, including federal, state, or local/ regional level.



Table 7.1: Rough Order of Magnitude Cost Estimates by Project – SR-41 Southern Corridor

| PROJECT CATEGORY | PROJECT | TIMELINE | ESTIMATED COST | |
|---------------------|---|----------|---|--|
| | Auxiliary Lanes along SR-41: Ashlan Ave to Shaw Ave (1 mile) | Near | | |
| | Auxiliary Lanes along SR-41: Shields Ave to SR 180 (2 miles) | | \$2.2 million - \$3 million/mile | |
| | Auxiliary Lanes along SR-41: Bullard Ave to Herndon Ave (1 mile) | | | |
| | Shields Ave Interchange Improvement: Expand the NB off ramp to 2 lanes for the full length | | \$4.4 million - \$6 million | |
| Highway | Ashlan Ave - Reconfigure interchange to either a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) or a Diverging Diamond configuration. Additional study required to determine the appropriate design. | | \$2.2 million - \$3 million | |
| | Shaw Ave Interchange Improvement:Add 3rd lane for the SB on rampAdd 3rd lane to the SB off-ramp | Long | \$5.25 million | |
| | Shaw Ave Interchange Improvement:Add 3rd lane for the SB on ramp (.25 miles)Add 3rd lane to the SB off-ramp (.25 miles) | | \$10 million - \$13 million | |
| | Traffic signal coordination | | \$35,000 - \$43,400 | |
| Arterial | Raised and landscaped medians (23.5 miles) | INCAI | ~ \$1.9 million - \$3.7 million | |
| | Complete streets improvements, including high-visibility crosswalks, curb extensions, and new landscaping | Mid | ~ \$11.7 million | |
| | Implement Express bus/ BRT service | | \$4-\$23 million/mile | |
| | Regional traveler information program/app | | ~\$250,000 in development and maintenance costs/year | |
| | Increase vanpool program and participation incentives | Near | | |
| Transit | Micro-transit demonstration project | | \$30 million over 5 years | |
| Indiisit | Traffic signal priority, queue jumps, and other bus/ BRT operational improvements | | TSP: ~ \$80,000 Queue jumps: ~\$1.6 million | |
| | Improve bus stop conditions | | ~\$16.6 million | |
| | Introduce multimodal mobility hubs | Mid | \$35 million - \$70 million | |
| | Implement express bus/ freeway BRT service | | \$60 million - \$345 million | |



| PROJECT CATEGORY | PROJECT | TIMELINE | ESTIMATED COST |
|--------------------------|---|----------|---|
| | Class IV Bikeways | | ~ \$9 million - \$24 million |
| | Upgrade current planned Class II and III bikeways to separated bikeways (30 miles) | | · · · |
| | Bike facilities improvements identified in the FCOG 2018 RTP Constrained Project List | | \$63,000 - \$6 million depending on the facility type and length |
| | Wayfinding signage to direct active transportation users | | \$30,000 |
| | Class IV Bikeways (2.8 miles) | | ~ \$840,000 - \$2.24 million |
| Active Transportation | Bike share | | \$79,000 launch cost, \$62,000/ station capital costs, \$90,000 operating costs |
| | Landscaping and amenities for active transportation users | | \$920,000 for a project to plant 500 trees (\$1900/tree in Berkeley) or \$900/tree for materials + installation from safe routes document |
| | Class I Bikeways | | \$3 million - \$5 million |
| | Crosswalk improvements including curb extensions, raised intersections, and pedestrian crossing | Long | \$2.3 million |
| | AQ sensors on bus fleets | | \$20,000/sensor (regulatory agency quality), \$2,000/sensor (low cost) |
| Multi-modal/ | Expand the urban tree canopy and green infrastructure | | Estimated at \$900/tree for materials + installation |
| Sustainability | EV charging stations (multimodal mobility hubs amongst others) | | \$90,000 |
| | Designate "multi-modal corridors" | | N/A |
| | Shade structures at bus stops | | ~ \$16.6 million |



| PROJECT CATEGORY | PROJECT | TIMELINE | ESTIMATED COST |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|---|
| Multi-modal/ Sustainability | solar program for street lighting and signs | | \$1,393 - \$2,571 per sign |
| | "cool pavements", including concrete, white cement concrete, concrete pavers, or titanium dioxide cement | | \$4-\$12/sqft |
| | Reclaimed asphalt pavements (RAP) or recycled material components (RMCs) in pavement treatments | | Total cost of recycling and overlaying (1-in overlay) by two- pass method is approximately \$2.64/sqyd |
| | bioswales and porous pavement | | Total cost includes site preparation, stormwater management, and landscaped development \$2,700,650/mile |
| | Develop parklets | | \$375,000/location |
| | Expand shade infrastructure | | \$15,000- \$50,000 dependent on area size |
| | Solar Roads | | \$4,055,040/mile |



Table 7.2: Rough Order of Magnitude Cost Estimates by Project – SR-41 Northern Corridor

| PROJECT CATEGORY | PROJECT | | ESTIMATED COST |
|---------------------|--|--------|---|
| | New freeway interchange ramps | Neer | ~ \$60million -\$70million |
| Highway | Expand on/off ramps from 1 lane to 2 lanes | - Near | ~ \$5m-\$10m /interchange |
| nignway | Widening, auxiliary lane addition (6 miles) | Mid | ~ \$120 million - \$150 million |
| | HOV lane and/or reversible HOV lane (2.25 miles) | Long | ~ \$50m/mile |
| Artorial | Install traffic signals at intersections | Near | ~ \$1 million - \$2 million |
| Arterial | Widen road from 2 lanes to 4 lanes (1 mile) | Mid | ~ \$10.5 million |
| | Introduce a student bus pass program with Madera CC students/faculty | | |
| | Introduce a new MCC route | Near | \$1 million - \$1.4 million |
| | a micro transit pilot program | | ~ \$30m over 5 years |
| Trancit | Regional Multimodal Mobility hubs | | \$10 million |
| Iransit | Improve bus stop conditions | Mid | \$44,000/stop |
| | Introduce express bus/freeway BRT service | | \$40 million - \$230 million |
| | increased bus service hours on Saturdays (5 additional hours of service) | Long | ~ \$1,920 |
| | YARTS stops | Long | ~ \$88,000 |
| | Class I multi-use path (2.75 miles) | | ~ \$3.85 million - \$6.05 million |
| | Class IV bikeway (2.20 miles) | | \$300,000-\$800,000/mile ~ \$825,000 - \$2.2 million |
| | Bike lane along Rd 36 from SR 145 to Avenue 9 (9 miles) | Near | ~ \$999,000 |
| Active | Bicycle intersection improvements (i.e. green transition lanes) | | ~ \$400,000 |
| Transportation | New sidewalks (1.55 miles) | | ~ \$169,000 |
| | Pedestrian crossing and pedestrian crossing beacons | | ~ \$114,000 |
| | Extend Class I bike path (7.7 miles) | Mid | \$1.4m-\$2.2m/mile ~ \$10.7 million - \$16.9 million |
| | Multi-use paths (11.25 miles) | IVIIU | \$1.4m-\$2.2m/mile ~ \$15.7 million - \$24.7 million |



| PROJECT CATEGORY | PROJECT | TIMELINE | ESTIMATED COST |
|--------------------------------|---|----------|---|
| Multi-Modal/ Sustainability | location for park-and-ride facility | | \$2.8m for 200 spaces (surface parking) |
| | partnership linking transit and first-last mile service such as bike shares | | \$79,000 launch cost, \$62,000/station capital costs, \$90,000 operating costs |
| | AQ sensors on bus fleets | Near | \$20,000/sensor (regulatory agency quality), \$2,000/ sensor (low cost) |
| | an Urban Greening program | | Estimated at \$900/tree for materials + installation |
| | EV charging stations (multimodal mobility hubs amongst others) | | \$20,000 |
| | shade structures at bus stops and at waiting areas (7 new stops) | | ~ \$308,000 |
| | Develop parklets | | \$375,000 |
| | Solar Roads | Long | \$4,055,040 per mile |



Table 7.3: Rough Order of Magnitude Cost Estimates by Project – Avenue 9

| PROJECT CATEGORY | PROJECT | TIMELINE | ESTIMATED COST |
|--------------------------|---|----------|---|
| | Speed feedback displays (every 3 miles) | | ~ \$15,000 - \$18,000 |
| | Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes & include roadway shoulders (1.3 miles) | | ~ \$13.6 million |
| | Additional wayfinding signage | | ~ \$560 |
| | Additional lighting | INCAI | \$5,500/streetlight |
| Arterial | Reversible lanes or shoulder lanes for agricultural use | | \$1.4 million per mile ~ \$13 million |
| | Install roadway shoulders | | ~ \$18 million |
| | Widen from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | Mid | ~ \$98.7 million |
| | Widen from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | Long | \$15.5 million/mile/lane for major arterial in larger urbanized area \$10.5 million/mile/lane for minor arterial in large urbanized area |
| Tropoit | Add safe bus stops | Near | \$44,000/stop |
| iransit | New MCC Route | Mid | ~ \$1 million - \$1.4 million |
| | Class IV bikeway along Avenue 9 from Road 40 1/2 to SR-99 (9.4 miles) | | ~ \$2.8 million - \$7.5 million |
| . | Bicycle intersection improvements (i.e. green transition lanes) | Noar | ~ \$320,000 - \$560,000 |
| Active Transportation | Add lighting along new bike facilities on Avenue 9 for bicyclist safety | Inear | ~ \$267.2 million |
| | Install sidewalks (1.5 miles) | | ~ \$253,440 |
| | Class IV bikeway | Mid | ~ \$2.8 million - \$7.5 million |
| | Pursue an Urban Greening Program that includes local/ native species | Neer | Estimated at \$900/tree for materials + installation |
| Multi-Modal/ | Recycled asphalt pavements (RAP) or recycled material components (RMCs) | near | Total cost of recycling and overlaying (1-in overlay) by two-pass method is approximately \$2.64/sqyd |
| Sustainability | Introduce bioswales and porous pavement | Mid | Total cost includes site preparation, stormwater management, and landscaped development \$2,700,650 |
| | "leisure space" or pocket parks | Long | \$375,000 |



Potential funding sources for the projects identified in Tables 7.1 through 7.3 are listed in Table 7.4. Funding sources are divided by funding source agency, federal, state, or regional/ local. In addition, the eligible project types for each funding source is identified.

| | | ELIGIBLE PROJECT TYPE | | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| AGENCY | FUNDING SOURCE | HIGHWAY | ARTERIAL | TRANSIT | ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION | MULTI-MODAL/ Sustainability |
| Federal | Recreational Trails Program | | | | ~ | |
| | BUILD Discretionary Grant | | | | | |
| | Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) | | | | ~ | |
| | Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) | | ~ | | ~ | |
| | INFRA | | ~ | | | |
| | RAISE | | | | | ~ |
| | New Starts and Small Starts (FTA Section 5309) | | | | | |
| | Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement(CMAQ) | | | | ~ | ~ |

Table 7.4: Potential Funding Sources for Sustainable Corridor Management Strategies



| | | ELIGIBLE PROJECT TYPE | | | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| AGENCY | FUNDING SOURCE | HIGHWAY | ARTERIAL | TRANSIT | ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION | MULTI-MODAL/ Sustainability | |
| Federal | EPA Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) | | | | | ~ | |
| | EPA Brownfields Grant Program | | | | | | |
| | EPA Environmental Justice Small Grants Program | | | | | ~ | |
| | EPA Office of Sustainable Communities Greening America's Communities Program | | | | | ~ | |
| | DOI Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance (RTCA) Program | | | | | ~ | |
| | Active Transportation Program | | | | ~ | | |
| State | Cap & Trade: Low Carbon Transit Operations Program | | | | ~ | | |
| | Cap & Trade: Low Carbon Transit Operations Program | | | | ~ | | |
| | Regional Improvement Program (STIP) | | | | \checkmark | | |



| | | ELIGIBLE PROJECT TYPE | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| AGENCY | FUNDING SOURCE | HIGHWAY | ARTERIAL | TRANSIT | ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION | MULTI-MODAL/ Sustainability |
| | State Highway Operations Protection Program (SHOPP) | | | | | |
| State | SB 1 - Local Streets & Roads | | | | | ~ |
| | Trade Corridor Enhancement (TCEP) | | | | | |
| | Local Partnership Program (LPP) | | | ~ | | |
| | Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program | | | ~ | | |
| | State Water Resources Control Board Stormwater Grant Program | | | | | |



| | | ELIGIBLE PROJECT TYPE | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| AGENCY | FUNDING SOURCE | HIGHWAY | ARTERIAL | TRANSIT | ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION | MULTI-MODAL/ Sustainability |
| Regional & Local | Measure C – FCRTA Primary Program | | | ~ | | |
| | Measure C – Advanced Transportation Technologies | | | ~ | | ~ |
| | Measure P – Parks Tax | | | | | ~ |
| | San Joaquin Valley Air Quality District - Public Benefit Grant Program | | | | | ~ |
| | San Joaquin Valley Air Quality District – Bike Paths Grant | | | | ~ | |
| | San Joaquin Valley Air Quality District – Charge Up! Electric Vehicle Charger Incentive Program | | | | | ~ |
| | Public-Private Partnership | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ |



8. Conclusion

The sustainable corridor management strategies proposed through the SR-41/Avenue 9 Sustainable Corridor Study aim to address the current and future needs of residents and commuters traveling through the Fresno-Madera region. They were developed after a thorough review of existing conditions, planned improvements, community engagement, and travel forecasts. Lastly, the final package of recommended improvements was developed after an alternatives analysis which considered the unique needs of Fresno and Madera Counties. The improvements proposed through this study will prepare the region for the rapid urbanization that is already underway and also leave room for growth to accommodate future growth.

Additionally, the projects and initiatives discussed through this study aim to improve accessibility and equity within all communities along the study corridors. Improvements will improve access to sustainable transportation alternatives, including transit and active transportation connections, thus improving access to opportunity hubs including employment and education centers. This will also have a positive impact on greenhouse gas emissions, regional air quality, and climate change impacts.

Appendix (A) – Project Review Matrix



Appendix (A) Project Review Matrix

| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO |
|--|----------------------|---|
| | Source Document | Fresno Station District Master Plan |
| Fresno Station District Development | Jurisdiction | California High Speed Rail Authority & City of Fresno |
| | Cost | |
| | Timeline | Completion expected in 2027 |
| | Funding Source | |
| | Status | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Regional hub for economic and environmental innovation that will improve access to affordable housing and support sustainable development |
| | Source Document | Gunner Ranch West Area Plan |
| | Jurisdiction | Madera County |
| | Cost | |
| Gunner Banch West | Timeline | Project approved by Madera County Board of Supervisors in 2014 |
| Development | Funding Source | Private development |
| | Status | Construction not initiated due to disputes over groundwater |
| | Expected Outcomes | Mixed-use development which will accommodate housing, commercial, community facilities, office space, and the expansion of the Valley Children's Hospital |
| | Source Document | Community and Economic Development Planning Division - Riverstone Specific Plan |
| | Jurisdiction | Madera County |
| | Cost | |
| Riverstone | Timeline | Partially completed |
| Development | Funding Source | Private development |
| | Status | Portion of development completed Riverstone development underway Additional homes to be added |
| | Expected Outcomes | Master planned community which will accommodate housing, retail, mixed-use development, and open space |
| | Source Document | Rio Mesa Area Plan |
| Rio Mesa Development | Jurisdiction | Madera County |
| | Cost | |
| | Timeline | Development is estimated to be completed within 15 years |
| | Funding Source | |
| | Status | Tesoro Viejo subdivision open to the public Onstruction continues |
| | Expected Outcomes | Creation of a development hub which will include high- and low- density housing, commercial, employment, and public land uses |



| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO |
|--|----------------------|---|
| | Source Document | Blackstone Corridor Transportation + Housing Study |
| | Jurisdiction | City of Fresno & Fresno County Transportation Authority (FCTA) |
| | Cost | \$40,715,056 |
| Blackstone & McKinley Transit Oriented Development | Timeline | Environmental documentation December 2018 Engineering August 2019 Construction expected completion May 2021 |
| | Funding Source | \$478,000 in Measure C Funding, private funding |
| | Status | Construction began February 2020 |
| | Expected Outcomes | Construction of high quality, high density, affordable housing in close proximity to public transit and major employment centers; Plan includes additional sidewalks & Class IV Bikeways |
| | Source Document | Elm Avenue |
| | Jurisdiction | City of Fresno |
| | Cost | |
| Elm Avenue | Timeline | Phase 1: project initiation + Background analysis Phase 2: Site reuse scenarios + Corridor vision Phase 3: Brownfields Area-Wide Plan + Implementation strategy |
| Revitalization | Funding Source | \$175,000 in funding from the Environmental Protection Agency Brownfields Area-Wide Planning Grant Program |
| | Status | Final strategy published |
| | Expected Outcomes | Transformation of Elm Avenue into an economically viable corridor which protects public health and the environment and reflects the community's vision for the area |
| | Source Document | Parc West Development Project Initial Study |
| | Jurisdiction | City of Fresno |
| | Cost | Private development |
| Parc West | Timeline | Phase 1: construction of 84 units |
| Development Project | Funding Source | Private development |
| | Status | Under Construction |
| | Expected Outcomes | Construction of 844 single family homes, park, and trail system that will connect to the City's existing and planned trail network |
| | Source Document | Central Southeast Area Specific Plan |
| Central Southeast | Jurisdiction | City of Fresno |
| | Cost | |
| Specific Plan | Timeline | Plan initiated in March 2019 |
| | Funding Source | |
| | Status | Public engagement efforts underway |



| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO |
|---|----------------------|---|
| | Source Document | South Industrial Priority Area Specific Plan |
| South Central Specific Plan | Jurisdiction | City of Fresno |
| | Cost | |
| | Timeline | Plan initiated in March 2019 |
| | Funding Source | |
| | Status | Public engagement efforts underway |
| Roadway Improvements | | |
| | Source Document | California High Speed Rail Website |
| | Jurisdiction | California High Speed Rail Authority |
| | Cost | |
| Tulare Street | Timeline | Construction began in October 2017 |
| Undercrossing | Funding Source | |
| | Status | Construction in progress between G and H Streets in downtown Fresno |
| | Expected Outcomes | Will eliminate at-grade crossings at HSR |
| | Source Document | SB 1 Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2018 |
| | Jurisdiction | State of California |
| | Cost | \$18.3 million over the next decade |
| | Timeline | Funding approved in 2017 |
| Ongoing Maintenance and rehabilitation of raods and local streets | Funding Source | (SB 1): Gasoline Excise Tax, Diesel Excise Tax, Diesel Sales Tax, Transportation Improvement Fee, Gasoline Price-Based Excise Tax, Zero Emission Vehicle Fee |
| | Status | Ongoing |
| | Expected Outcomes | Will create a properly functioning, well maintained local street and road system to ensure safety/mobility of the traveling public, emergency responders, law enforcement, and commerce |
| | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS |
| Lane Expansion on Avenue 9 (Phase 1) | Jurisdiction | Madera County |
| | Cost | \$8,100,000 |
| | Timeline | Projected Opening Year: 2023 |
| | Funding Source | Local TIF/other |
| | Status | Not initiated |
| | Expected Outcomes | Expansion from 2 lanes to 4 lanes |



| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | Madera County | | | |
| | Cost | \$9,730,000 | | | |
| Lane Expansion on | Timeline | Project Opening Year: 2025 | | | |
| Avenue 9 (Phase 2) | Funding Source | Local TIF/ other | | | |
| | Status | Not initiated | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Expansion from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | | | |
| | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | Madera County | | | |
| | Cost | \$5,800,000 | | | |
| Lane Expansion on | Timeline | Projected Opening Year: 2025 | | | |
| SR-41 | Funding Source | Local TIF/other | | | |
| | Status | Not initiated; Planned | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Expansion from 4 lanes to 6 lanes beginning at the Madera County Line until Avenue 10 | | | |
| | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | Madera County | | | |
| | Cost | \$6,600,000 | | | |
| Lane expansion on | Timeline | Projected Opening Year: 2040 | | | |
| Children's BLVD | Funding Source | Future Measure T & Local TIF/other | | | |
| | Status | Not initiated | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Expansion from 4 lanes to 6 lanes | | | |
| | Source Document | Fresno COG 2014 RTP/ SCS | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | Fresno Council of Governments | | | |
| | Cost | \$14,700,000 | | | |
| State Route 41 Ashlan to Shaw Auxiliary Lane | Timeline | Environmental document to be completed: October 2019 Right of way acquisition to be completed: August 2021 Design to be completed: September 2021 Advertise: January 2022 Construction to begin: April 2022 Expected to be completed: December 2024 | | | |
| | Funding Source | Future Measure T & Local TIF/other | | | |
| | Status | Initial study with mitigated negative declaration completed in 2019 | | | |
| State Route 41 Ashlan to Shaw Auxiliary Lane | Expected Outcomes | The project would relieve congestion and improve safety for this segment of northbound State Route 41. The addition of the auxiliary lane within the project limits would improve traffic operations on mainline State Route 41 and the northbound State Route 41 off-ramp to Shaw Avenue. | | | |



| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | Source Document | Fehr & Peers Freeway Deficiency Study |
| Interchange Improvements | Jurisdiction | CALTRANS |
| | Cost | |
| | Timeline | Project identified; no completion date assigned as of yet |
| | Funding Source | SB 1 |
| | Status | Project not initiated; Planned |
| | Expected Outcomes | Interchange improvements along SR-41 at Shields Ave, Ashlan Ave, Shaw Ave, and Bullard Ave |
| | Source Document | Transportation Concept Report, SR-41 |
| | Jurisdiction | CALTRANS |
| | Cost | |
| | Timeline | Completion year expected 2020 |
| Bridge Seismic Retrofit | Funding Source | State Highway Operation and Protection Program National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) |
| | Status | Project not initiated; Planned |
| | Expected Outcomes | Retrofit bridge on old SR-41 in Fresno and Madera Counties for seismic impacts |
| | Source Document | Madera County 2014 RTP/SCS |
| | Jurisdiction | CALTRANS |
| | Cost | \$6,600,000 |
| Add NB on-ramp | Timeline | No completion date given |
| | Funding Source | Future Measure T, Local TIF/other |
| | Status | Project not initiated; Planned |
| | Expected Outcomes | Add a NB on-ramp to SR-41 at Children's Boulevard |
| | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS |
| | Jurisdiction | CALTRANS |
| 4 Lane Expressway | Cost | \$39,000,000 |
| | Timeline | Completion year expected 2022 |
| | Funding Source | SB 1 |
| | Status | Environmental Impact Report found no significant impacts April 2020 Construction initiated |
| | Expected Outcomes | Construct a 4-lane expressway in Madera County near Avenue 11 |



| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Source Document | Madera County 2014 RTP/SCS | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | CALTRANS | | | |
| | Cost | \$56,000,000 | | | |
| Widen 4 Lanes | Timeline | No completion date given | | | |
| Widen 4 Lanes | Funding Source | Local TIF/other | | | |
| | Status | Project not initiated; Proposed | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Widen SR-41 to 4 lanes from Avenue 10 to SR-145 | | | |
| Transit Projects | | | | | |
| | Source Document | CHSRA 2020 Business Plan | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | California High Speed Rail Authority | | | |
| | Cost | \$45 billion to begin construction of Phase 1 of the HSR | | | |
| | Timeline | Completion 2029 (Merced to Fresno Section) | | | |
| HSR | Funding Source | Proposition 1A (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act) bond measure, Federal Housing and Urban Development grant, Cap and Trade Program | | | |
| | Status | Construction in Progress | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Enhanced interregional mobility | | | |
| | Source Document | https://www.fresno.gov/transportation/the-fax-bus-stop- improvement-project/ | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | City of Fresno | | | |
| | Cost | \$2.2 million | | | |
| Bus Improvement | Timeline | Project completed | | | |
| Project - Buffer Median | Funding Source | federal, state, and local funds | | | |
| Islands | Status | Project completed | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Will provide an accessible location to wait for the bus and an accessible pathway for passengers to get to and from the sidewalk to a median bus island bus stop. Improvements include adding or enlarging concrete bus stop landings, curb cuts and new bus stop amenities such as shelters, benches and trash receptacles. | | | |
| | Source Document | Measure T ½ Cent Transportation Sales Tax Program – 2017 Strategic Plan | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | Madera County Transportation Authority | | | |
| Public Transit Agencies Subprogram | Cost | \$3.94 million total Madera County (\$1.81 million) City of Chowchilla (\$280,000) City of Madera (\$1.52 million) | | | |
| | Timeline | Over the course of 20 years through 2027 | | | |
| | Funding Source | Measure T | | | |
| | Status | Ongoing | | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | The goal of this program is to expand or enhance public transit programs that address transit dependent populations, have a demonstrated ability to get people out of their cars and improve air quality. | | | |



| PROJECT | | PROJECT INFO | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Source Document | Madera County Short-Range Transit Development Plan | | |
| | Jurisdiction | City of Madera | | |
| | Cost | \$5 million | | |
| | Timeline | Construction initiated in 2018 | | |
| Madera Metro Transit Center | Funding Source | Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account Program Federal Transit Administration (FTA) | | |
| | Status | Completed June 2020 | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | This new mobility hub will improve local mobility through increased access to the Madera Metro, operated by the city of Madera | | |
| | Source Document | Madera Station Relocation | | |
| | Jurisdiction | San Joaquin Joint Powers Authority | | |
| | Cost | \$26 million | | |
| Madera Amtrak Station | Timeline | Contract for development was approved May 2019 Funding for development approved in August 2019 Construction to begin in 2023 Anticipated opening date in 2024 | | |
| | Funding Source | Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA) SB1 | | |
| | Status | Construction to begin in 2021 | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Will relocate the existing Madera Amtrak Station to Avenue 12 to improve visitor access and ridership | | |
| | | | | |

Active Transportation Projects

| Tuolomne Street Bridge Construction | Source Document | https://hsr.ca.gov/high_speed_rail/maps/construction.aspx?style=text |
|--|----------------------|---|
| | Jurisdiction | California High Speed Rail Authority |
| | Cost | |
| | Timeline | Demolition began January 2015 and opened to traffic Summer 2017. |
| | Funding Source | |
| | Status | Completed |
| | Expected Outcomes | The new bridge better accommodates two-way traffic; supports the revitalization of downtown Fresno's city core and features wider pedestrian walkways and bike paths. |



| PROJECT | PROJECT INFO | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Development of new trail connections | Source Document | Fresno County Regional Transportation Plan | |
| | Jurisdiction | Fresno Council of Governments | |
| | Cost | \$465,693,000 | |
| | Timeline | Phase 1: Information Gatherin (March - May 2020) Phase 2: Drafting the Recommendations (May - July 2020) Phase 3: | |
| | Funding Source | Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP), Local Transportation Fund (LTF), California State Recreational Trails Program (RTP), Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCP), Active Transportation Program (ATP), Transportation Development Act (TDA), FCTA Measure C, JSVAPCD Bikeway Incentive Program | |
| | Status | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | This Plan will create a vision and recommendations for the ongoing development of new trail connections that create a safe, comfortable, and connected network for walking/hiking, off-road biking, and horseback riding. | |
| Bicycle and Trail Improvements (2018- 2027) | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS | |
| | Jurisdiction | MCTC | |
| | Cost | \$6,720,000 | |
| | Timeline | 2018-2027 | |
| | Funding Source | Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program/ Local | |
| | Status | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Development of Class I, II, III Bicycle Facilities | |
| Bicycle and Trail Improvements (2018- 2027) | Source Document | Madera County 2018 RTP/SCS | |
| | Jurisdiction | MCTC | |
| | Cost | \$31,250,000 | |
| | Timeline | 2028-2042 | |
| | Funding Source | CMAQ Program/Local | |
| | Status | | |
| | Expected Outcomes | Development of Class I, II, III Bicycle Facilities | |

Appendix (B) – Small Group Meeting Attendees



Appendix (B) Small Group Meeting Attendees

BluePoint Planning conducted five hour-long Small Group Meetings to obtain feedback from key community stakeholders. Six small group meetings were planned, including: Agriculture, Businesses and Developers, Community, Developers, Environmental, and Large Institutions. However, based on the availability of each individual guest, only five meetings were hosted and some guests shifted into different meetings slots. The final meeting list included: Businesses and Developers, Environmental and Cycling, Community, Institutions, and Ranchos. The entities that attended the meetings can be found below.

Businesses and Developers - December 1, 2020 - 11am

- · Buidling Industry Association of Fresno and Madera
- Downtown Fresno
- Riverstone
- Gunner Ranch

Environmental and Cycling Groups - December 2, 2020 - 1 pm

- Central Valley Air Quality Coalition
- Farm Bureau
- Central California Asthma Collaboration
- Fresno Cycling Club

Community Groups – December 1, 2020 - 3 pm

- Madera Chamber of Commerce
- Fresno Metro Ministry
- Tree Fresno

Institutions – December 4, 2020 - 1 pm

- San Joaquin River Conservancy
- Madera City College
- Yosemite Area Regional Transportation System (YARTS)

Ranchos – January 27, 2021 - 10 am

• 14 Ranchos Community Members

Appendix (C) – Steering Committee Members



Appendix (C) Steering Committee Members

The Steering Committee is represented by members from many backgrounds. They can be found below:

| Category | Group/ Agency | Name | Email |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Government | Fresno Council of Governments | Braden Duran | bduran@fresnocog.org |
| | | Kai Han | khan@fresnocog.org |
| | | Krisine Cai | kcai@fresnocog.org |
| | Madera County | Dylan Stone | dylan@maderactc.org |
| | Transportation Commission | Patricia Taylor | patricia@maderactc.org |
| | Fresno County | Brian Spaunhurst | bspaunhurst@fresnocountyca.gov |
| | Madera County | Jared Carter | jcarter@maderacountyca.gov |
| | City of Fresno | Gregory Barfield | Gregory.barfield@fresno.gov |
| | | Jill Gormley | Jill.gormley@fresno.gov |
| | | Joe Vargas | Joe.vargas@fresno.gov |
| | Caltrans | Jamaica Gentry | Jamaica.gentry@dot.ca.gov |
| | | Michael Navarro | Michael.navarro@dot.ca.gov |
| Health/ Public Health | Valley Childrens Hospital | Leonard Garoupa | plgaroupa@yahoo.com |
| Mobility | Fresno Bicycling Club | Tina Summer | Tinasumner@sbcglobal.net |
| | FAX | Carolina Ilic | Carolina.ilic@fresno.gov |
| Economic Development/Tourism | BIA of Fresno/ Madera Counties | Michael Prandini | Mikep@biafm.org |
| Equity | Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability | Leslie Martinez (Fresno County representative) | Lmartinez@leadershipcounsel.org |
| | | Madeline Harris (Madera County representative) | mharris@leadershipcounsel.org |
| Safety | California Highway Patrol (CHP) | Lieutenant Commander Hector Madrigal | hmadrigal@chp.ca.gov |
| Education | Fresno City College | George Cummings | not available |

Appendix (D) – Guide to Applying an Equity Lens


Appendix (D) Guide to Applying an Equity Lens

Using an equity lens for a project is a means to actively insert racial equity into your decision-making process from project design through project evaluation. The steps include finding shared language to talk about race and institutional inequities; analyzing data about who will benefit or be burdened by an initiative; setting a concrete goal for a specific improvement in racial equity; engaging internally and with the community, especially those most impacted, in developing strategies to spread benefits or mitigate burdens; staying focused on equity throughout implementation; making communication and evaluation of progress in advancing equity a priority throughout the initiative; and taking the time to figure out how to institutionalize what has worked in your process to advance equity.

1. What makes a good project?

Projects should focus on putting ideas into action. This can be done via a brand new project or it can be via an intentional shifting of work you currently have underway. Factors that will influence the quality of a project are as follows:

- 1. Clarity and specificity Good projects are easily described and understood. They specific, concrete goals and progress indicators for both communitywide impacts and reducing racial inequities.
- Ability to impact racial equity Good projects identify and address specific racial inequities, whether
 through the adoption of racial and social impact criteria in decision-making processes, by producing
 specific benefits and/or mitigating burdens for communities of color, and/or through more authentic, longterm engagement of communities of color in program design and implementation.
- 3. Capacity building Good projects include specific strategies for building the capacity of your team to advance racial equity. You should have internal and/or external partners identified that will support development and implementation of the project. If you do not have current partners, expanding capacity and engagement should be a key part of your strategy.
- 4. Institutionalizing Good projects will aim to institutionalize equity on a long-term basis so that the project doesn't become a "one-off." This can be done by embedding in institutional policy a decision-criteria to promote equity or a requirement to use a racial equity lens in program development. While opportunities to institutionalize equity will likely emerge throughout the course of implementation, if you are thinking about it from the beginning, the likelihood for success will increase. Ultimately, the more you can embed consideration of equity into operations, the more influence you will have.

What are examples of good projects?

Good projects will vary in scope and scale, depending on local conditions. The following list of examples of types of projects is intended to reflect the variation we expect to see.

 Developing and adopting an overall racial equity strategy for a planning or environmental department --Some cities start down this path by adopting a racial equity policy, including goals, decision criteria, and progress metrics for advancing racial equity that all projects and programs must meet. This process needs to begin with a shared understanding of the history and persistence of racial inequity and shared language for talking about a new approach. The overarching policy can be continually refined using lessons learned in applying it to new projects.



- Undertaking a neighborhood planning process that is inclusive of communities of color Too often cityled planning has not included the voices or priorities of communities of color. For example, engaging people most affected by growth and potential displacement provides the opportunity to develop antigentrification strategies so that people currently living in a neighborhood benefit from anticipated growth and reinvestment. Likewise, community-targeted climate resilience or adaptation planning efforts will be more successful if it includes the long-term engagement of residents from all neighborhoods.
- Integrating equity into an existing effort, such as a program that encourages city residents to walk or bike

 Some existing sustainability programs may unintentionally better meet the needs of white residents.
 Conducting an assessment of program objectives, gaps and opportunities could allow teams to expand or
 re-design an existing program to make programs work for everyone and actually advance racial equity.
- Developing a new sustainability or climate action plan using an equity lens As equity has become more
 prominent in sustainability and climate action, cities have begun to apply an equity lens to the development
 and, as important, the implementation of these plans. With capacity building, the equity lens can be more
 rigorously applied and is more likely to open up opportunities for institutionalizing equity into core city
 government operations and work plans.
- Bringing equity into implementation of an existing sustainability or climate action plan A city may already have a rigorous sustainability or climate action plan that it is actively implementing and want to bring a stronger equity lens to its implementation by undertaking an equity self-evaluation and bringing an equity lens to program implementation for each program.

2. Desired Results, Outcomes, and Data Evidence

- It will be easier to build support (and counter opposition) if you have a clear, simple, measurable and easily understood goal for the project and for how the project will advance racial equity.
- Data disaggregated by race and neighborhood related to your project will help you to find equity gaps.
- Both quantitative and qualitative data should be used to assess and track community conditions over time.
- A general outcome of "increase racial equity" is harder to explain or achieve than a specific change in opportunity for people of color. A specific change could be increased access to a program, reduced burdens from a policy change, a program design that is tailored to work for a community, and/or a greater voice in the decisions about the program.
- USDN Member Example: Reuse vacant and abandoned properties throughout the city in a way that involves residents in reuse decisions, doesn't displace neighbors, and allows existing residents to participate in investment programs and local hiring.

3. Collaboration and Engagement

- Community engagement is often designed for those who have historically had access. You will need to be intentional to engage diverse communities that have not historically been well-served by government
- Too often, inclusion and engagement efforts don't support long-term relationships. Too often community members have been repeatedly consulted, but not heard. Success depends upon committing to long-term engagement that builds capacity and trust.



- Trust is built by approaching each neighborhood with an open mind; accepting that you may not understand community concerns and may be surprised to find what they are; recognizing that what community members care about may not be what you want to work on; trying to find the connection, but being prepared to meet community members where they are; finding ways for community members to take the lead; and following through on commitments.
- USDN Member Example: Work with residents in three neighborhoods to figure out what city programs could help increase access to health care and active living, energy efficiency, and affordable quality housing.

4. Strategies

- We tend to think about projects in isolation, aka, a transactional approach. For us to maximize impact, we need to consider opportunities to use our projects to address structural barriers to opportunity within our institutions.
- We typically have the greatest influence within our own institutions, but racially inequitable outcomes are
 perpetuated through systems and structures. Think about how you can work cross-sectors to enhance your ability
 to target structural racism.
- USDN Member Example: Develop a model Transit Oriented Development (TOD) or Community Benefit Agreement policy that includes decision criteria for the allocation of benefits and burdens to communities of color.

5. Communication, Education, and Capacity Building

- Review Video 2. Communicating about Equity and Video 3. Building Shared Understanding of Equity.
- Your team will need continuous training and capacity building on what racial equity is and how to use an equity lens.
- Include education about the history of how your community arrived at current conditions related to your goal and what past choices may be contributing to racial inequities.
- Be aware of the tendency of many people to want to focus on individuals. This awareness can help you to make clear connections between individual experiences and institutional and structural barriers and opportunities.
- USDN Member Example: Will identify a project that involves multiple departments so all can learn together how to make sure programs work well for all residents. For example, community gardening on public land where residents are expected to purchase their own equipment doesn't work for low-income neighborhoods.

6. Evaluation

- Evaluation is a key part of accountability.
- Make sure you take time to share lessons learned. This will not only facilitate mid-course corrections, but also help to create more opportunities to advance equity.
- This work can be hard. Make small wins. Think about how you can you take time to celebrate success and recognize progress.
- USDN Member Example: For a project to bring an equity lens to the update of a climate action plan, there will be an equity goal for each action for each neighborhood, not just citywide. Every neighborhood will have a target for improvement that will be tracked and shared.

Appendix (E) – Model Run Project Lists



Appendix (E) Model Run Project Lists

| Improvement | Included in Model | Improvement | Included in Model |
|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Highway/ Roadway Projects | | Active Transportation Projects | |
| New HOV lane | x | bike facility improvements | x |
| widen from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | x | bike lane | x |
| widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes | x | buffered bikeway | x |
| new auxiliary lane | x | class I bike path | x |
| new freeway interchange ramp | x | class I bikeways | x |
| complete street improvements | | class I multi-use path | x |
| install traffic signals | | class IV bikeways | x |
| interchange\intersection improvements | | protected bikeways | x |
| lighting | | separated bikeways | x |
| raised and landscaped medians | | upgrade class II to class III bikeways | x |
| reversible HOV lane | | bicycle intersection improvement | |
| reversible lane or shoulder lane | | bike share | |
| roadway shoulders | | crosswalk improvements | |
| speed displays | | landscaping and amenities | |
| traffic signal coordination | | lighting | |
| wayfinding signage | | multi-use path | |
| Transit Projects | | pedestrian crossing and beacons (just | |
| student bus pass program | x | improved intersection for safety) | |
| express bus\BRT service | x | sidewalk | |
| a new MCC route | x | wayfinding signage | |
| safe bus stops (improved amenities) | | | |
| YARTS stops | | | |
| extend weekend service and increase frequency | | | |
| improve bus stop conditions | | | |
| micro-transit | | | |
| multimodal mobility hub | | | |
| service operational improvements (signal priority, queue jumps etc.) | | | |
| traveler information app | | | |
| vanpool program and participation incentives | | | |

Appendix (F) – Study Area Maps







Figure 2.1: Study Area







Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (2018)

Figure 2.2: Existing Population Density







Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (2018)

Figure 2.3: Minority Population







Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (2018)

Figure 2.4: Low-Income Population







Source: CalEnviroScreen 3.0 (2018)

Figure 2.6: Disadvantaged Communities







2019 Base Year: AB Speed in AM Peak Hour



2046 Horizon Year: AB Speed in AM Peak Hour



2019 Base Year: AB Speed in PM Peak Hour



2046 Horizon Year: AB Speed in PM Peak Hour



Figure 2.11: 2019 Base Year: Volume to Capacity AM Peak Period



Figure 2.12: 2046 HorizonYear: Volume to Capacity AM Peak Period







Figure 2.13: VMT Per Capita 2046 No Build







Figure 2.14: VMT Per Capita % Difference Between 2019 Base Year and 2046 Horizon Year No-Build







Figure 5.2: Proposed Roadway Strategies







Figure 5.3: Proposed Transit Strategies







Figure 5.4: Proposed Active Transportation Strategies







Figure 5.5: Proposed Sustainability Strategies



Figure 6.1: Scenario 5: AB Speed in AM Peak Hour



Figure 6.2: Scenario 5: AB Speed in PM Peak Hour



Figure 6.3: 2046 HorizonYear: Volume to Capacity AM Peak Period



Figure 6.4: 2046 HorizonYear: Scenario 5 Volume to Capacity AM Peak Period







Figure 6.5: Electric Vehicle Charging Stations and Proposed Electric Bus Routes







Figure 6.6: Ozone Percentiles







Figure 6.7: PM2.5 Percentiles







Figure 6.8: VMT Per Capita % Difference Between SN 5 and 2046 No-Build Scenario







Figure 6.9: Electric Vehicle Charging Stations and Proposed Electric Bus Routes







Figure 6.10: TAZ Within a Quarter Mile of a Transit Stop